STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 201323819

Issue No.: 3003 Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 26, 2013

County: Oakland 06

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 26, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included (Eligibility Specialist).

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS	OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as mate	• • • •			
Claimant	☑ received benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).			

	n February 1, 2013, the Department □ denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case ☑ reduced Claimant's benefits e to excess income.
\boxtimes	n January 2, 2013, the Department sent Claimant
	January 8, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting \Box denial of the application. \Box closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	rtment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the es Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	he Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is nistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Respo 42 US Agenc 3131.	the Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective per 1, 1996.
progra implen Regula Agenc	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 001-3015.
Securi The D	ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the rogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disa	the State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance sabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known be Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and X 1990,	the Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE (XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. Program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

Date Mailed: February 27, 2013

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Here, Claimant challenged the Department's decision to reduce her monthly FAP benefits from \$475.00 to \$331.00. The Department failed to include a FAP budget in the hearing packet. Without the FAP budget, the Administrative Law Judge is unable to determine whether the Department followed policy when it reduced Claimant's monthly FAP allotment.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \boxtimes improperly
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
C. Adam Purnell Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: February 27, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/cr

