STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-23429

Issue No.: <u>5005</u>

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

ate: May 22, 2013 Van Buren

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, Ma y 22, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claim ant included the claimant's authorized representative, Janice Clark. Participants on behalf of De partment of Human Services (Department) included APSup and ES.

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department p ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claiman	· · · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ State Emergency Relief (SER)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as mater	he competent, material, and substantial ial fact:		
1. Cla imant	received benefits for:		
Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).		

2.	On December 4, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's SER application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's FAP benefits due more than 10 days since burial/cremation.
3.	On December 4, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On December 26, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's A HR filed a hearing r equest, protesting the denial of the application. Closure of the case. reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.
☐ The State Emergenc y Relief (SER) program is established by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
Additionally, the claimant died on November 3, 2012 and was cremated. The claimant's authorized representative submitted a SER application for burial on behalf of the claimant on Nov ember 13, 2012, but did not submit an Assist ance Application, DHS 1171 to St. Joseph County DHS. The claimant was not a recipient of DHS benefits so a DHS 1171 was required in order to process the SER application. ERM 103. A notice was sent in the mail to the authorized representative on November 13, 2012. The claimant's authorized representative resubmitted the SER burial application and DHS 1171 on November 26, 2012. The original SER application could not be registered to preserve the date with out the DHS 1171. The proper filing was received on November 26, 2012, but that was more—than 10 days after burial/crem—ation. As a result, the application was denied.
For some reason, the hearing summary and hearing was conducted by Van Buren County DHS office not St. Joseph County DHS office. This Admini strative Law Ju dge finds that the depart ment did follow policy in denying the SER application because it was submitted more than 10 days after burial/ cremation, but the claimant's authorized representative should have been called and given the opportunity to timely submit a DHS 1171. A notice sent in the mail with a 10 day time limit on SER application does not give a laimant's authorized representative an opportunity to timely submit a DHS 1171 so that the application could be processed. BAM 115. The claimant's authorized representative's telephone number was included in the application and should have been utilized. The department has not met its burden that it correctly processed the claimant's SER application.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \boxtimes improperly
 ☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC SER.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's $\ \ \ \ \ $ AMP $\ \ \ \ \ \ $ FIP $\ \ \ \ \ \ $ MA $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ SER.decision is $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	10 DAYS OF

- 1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for SER using the original application date of November 13, 2012.
- 2. Provide the Claimant's authorized representative with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 28, 2013

Date Mailed: May 28, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

