STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			Reg. No: 201322377 Issue No: 2000, 2009	
			issue No. 2000	J, 2009
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic				
HEARING DECISION				
and MCL 40 in-person he Claimant's A	s before the undersigned A 0.37 upon the Claimant 's aring was held on uthorized Hearings Repres o appeared for the Claimar	request for a hear The Clain en tative,		notice, an and testified.
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Was the Department correct in deny ing Claim ant's Medicaid Assistance program (MA-P) benefits?				
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon — the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1.	Claimant applied for MA-P on retroactive coverage back to . with a request for			
2.	The Medical Review Team denied the application on			
3.	Claimant filed a request for hearing on MA denial.			
4.	An in-person hearing was held on .			
5.	Claimant was found disabled by the Social Security Administration with an onset date.			

6. At hearing the Department representative agreed that Claim ant was disabled effective for the purposes of MA-P.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy at BEM 260 s tates that if a claimant has been found eligible by the Social Security Administration, the person meets the Department's MA disability criteria. No other evidence is required to establish disability. In the present case, Claimant was found by the Social Security Administrati on to be disabled as of Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge fi nds that the Claimant met the Department's definition of disabled for the purposes of MA-P.

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The agency provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. A gency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the agency receives a hearing reques that continues through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the parties reached an agreement whereby the Department agreed that Claimant was disabled for the purposes of MA-P benefits beginning Since the Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Clalimant is medically distabled as of purposes of the MA and retroactive MA program, pending a review of all non-medical eligibility factors.

Accordingly, the Department's decis ion in the a bove stated matter is, hereby, **REVERSED**.

The Department is **ORDERED** to process Claimant's Medicaid and Retroactiv e Medicaid applic ations of eligibility factors, if it has not already done so.

The Department is further **ORDERED** to initiate a full review of this case in

______Aaron Administrative

for Department

McClintic Law Judge Maura Corrigan, Director of Human Services

Date Signed: 04/22/2013

Date Mailed: 04/22/2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsider ation on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decis ion and O rder. Administrative Hearings will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's moti on where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/kl

CC: