## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	IE I	MA'	ΓΤΕ	R C	)F:
----	----	------	-----	-----	-----	-----

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County DHS:	201322356 3008, 2006, 1005 February 6, 2013 Kent			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E	. Harris				
HEARING DI	<u>ECISION</u>				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a selephone hearing was held on February 6, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager (FIM), and Case Manager (CM),					
ISSU	<u>E</u>				
Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claima for:	nt's application 🛚 clos	se Claimant's case			
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li> </ul>	Adult Medical Assi State Disability Ass Child Developmen	. ,			
FINDINGS C	OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as materia	•	al, and substantial			
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ receive	ed benefits for:				
<ul> <li>∑ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>∑ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>∑ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	Adult Medical Assi State Disability As Child Developmen	sistance (SDÁ).			

2.	On January 1, 2013, the Department closed Claimant's case due to the Claimant's failure to return the redetermination packet that had been sent to her.
3.	On December 14, 2012, the Department sent Claimant notice of the:
	☐ denial. ☐ closure.
4.	On January 4, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.					
In this case, the Claimant testified that everything the Department asserts is correct. The Claimant testified that she had an address change and therefore, did not send the packet back. All documents in evidence have been sent to the same address, including the notice of hearing.					
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case					
for:   AMP   FIP   FAP   MA   SDA   CDC.					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ <b>AFFIRMED</b> $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
Susanne E Hanis					
Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services					
Date Signed: <u>3/22/13</u>					
Date Mailed: 3/22/13					

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant.
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/kl

CC:

