

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 2013-22304  
Issue No: 2009;4031  
[REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: April 17, 2013  
Allegan County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Landis Y. Lain

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing to protest the denial of claimant's application for MA. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 17, 2013. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

**ISSUE**

Whether the claimant meets the disability criteria for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On November 30, 2012, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
2. On January 4, 2013, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant had medical improvement.
3. On January 8, 2013, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.
4. On January 17, 2013, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
5. On March 14, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating in its analysis and recommendation: the medical evidence supports that significant medical improvement has been evidenced. However, the medical evidence of record does additionally support that the claimant is reasonably limited to the performance of simple and repetitive tasks. The medical evidence of record indicates that

significant medical improvement has been evidenced (20CFR416.994) and that the following now applies to this claim: the claimant is not currently engaging in substantial gainful activity based on the information that is available in file. The claimant's impairments/combination of impairments does not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security Administration listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform simple and repetitive tasks. The claimant has a history of less than gainful employment. As such, there is no past work for the claimant to perform, nor are there past work skills to transfer to other occupations. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile (23 years old, a high school equivalent education and a history of less than gainful employment), continuing MA-P is denied, 20CFR416.920(e&g), using Vocational Rule 204.00 as a guide. Continuing SDA is denied per BEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claimant's impairments would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days. Retroactive MA-P was not considered in this continuing MA-P and SDA only review. Listings 12.04/06 were considered in this determination.

6. The hearing was held on April 17, 2013.
7. According to the Bridges SOLQ report, the Social Security Administration approved claimant for SSI benefits on April 2, 2013 with a disability onset date of June 30, 2011 based upon an application date of September 23, 2011.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability. PEM, Item 260.

Ongoing MA eligibility begins the first day of the month of SSI entitlement. BEM, Item 150, page 1.



Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LYL/las

cc:

