STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-21950 3008 February 21, 2013 SSPC-West DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fa	ahie		
HEARING DEC	ISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, February 21, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included			
ISSUE			
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Relief (SER)?	
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimon		•	
 Claimant	JFIP ⊠FAP □MA	A □SDA □CDC □	
2. Claimant ⊠ was □ was not provided with a \	√erification Checkli	st (DHS-3503).	
3. Claimant was required to submit requested ve	erification by Decer	nber 21, 2012.	

⊠ d □ c □ re	January 2, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case educed Claimant's benefits ailure to submit verification in a timely manner and failure to complete interview
	0 days of application.
⊠ d □ c	January 2, 2013, the Department sent notice of the Jenial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. eduction of Claimant's benefits.
	January 8, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the lenial. Closure. reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	ment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges by Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Respon 42 USC Agency) through	Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program e October 1, 1996.
program impleme Regulat Agency)	Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) n] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is ented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal tions (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 Rule 400.3015.
Security The Dep	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). partment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disal	State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance bled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
	Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE of the Social Security Act. the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
Additionally, the claimant failed to turn in her/his required verification to verify asset and income eligibility by the due date and to complete interview within 30 days of application, which resulted in her/his case being denied/closed. The claimant is entitled to re-apply for benefits.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \square properly \square improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \infty \text{did not act properly.}
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/ Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: February 27, 2013
Date Mailed: February 28, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

cc: