STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201321778 Issue No.:

Case No.: 2003

Hearing Date: April 17, 2013

County: Gladwin

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice G. Spodarek

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 17, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant, and his authorized hearings representative, Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included . ES.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the DHS properly propose to close Claimant's G2U case effective 12/31/12 on the grounds that Claimant no longer met the age requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. At all relevant times prior to the proposed negative action herein, Claimant was receiving G2U MA Under 21 Medicaid.
- The DHS reviewed Claimant's case in 1/12. At that time, the DHS indicated to Claimant that his case would close due to his G2U case no longer meeting the requirements.
- Claimant filled out an application and claimed that he was disabled.
 Pursuant to an ex parte review, the DHS processed the case for an MRT.
 This was done and denied but is not an issued herein.

- 4. On 12/21/12, after the DHS received the MRT denial, the DHS issued notice to propose closing Claimant's G2U case as Claimant no longer met the requirements.
- 5. On 1/2/13, Claimant filed timely hearing request. The DHS reinstated the action pending the outcome of the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Under BAM Item 132, MA is not available under G2U when an individual reaches 21. Eligibility is only for those individuals who are under 21. BAM Item 132.

The undersigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) has reviewed the record and finds that Claimant's age prohibits him from continuing the receipt of MA benefits under the G2U program and thus, the DHS's proposed closure was correct.

It is noted that Claimant's MRT denial case was separate and scheduled for a administrative hearing which is not addressed in this 2013-21778.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above of Law, and for the reasons stated on the rec ⊠ did act properly when the DHS proposed to close BAM 132 and the reasons set forth on the record.	ord, finds that the Department
Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAFis ☑ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the reasons state	
	Janice G. Spodarek Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/26/13

Date Mailed: 5/1/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JGS/tb

CC:

