## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN | TH | IE N | ИА | TTE | R ( | OF | : |
|----|----|------|----|-----|-----|----|---|
|----|----|------|----|-----|-----|----|---|

due to excess income.

Reg. No.: 2013-2133 Issue No.: 2026;2014 Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 12, 2013

County: Macomb County DHS #20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

## **HEARING DECISION**

| TILAKING E   | <u> </u>   |  |
|--|--|--|
| telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, Fe<br>Participants on behalf of Claimant incl             | lest for a hearing. After due notice, a  |  |
| ISSU   | <u>JE</u>  |  |
| Due to excess income, did the Department p<br>☑ close Claimant's case ☑ reduce Claimant          |  |  |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? | <ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul> |  |
| FINDINGS (   | OF FACT  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as mater            | he competent, material, and substantial ial fact:  |  |
| 1. Cla imant  applied for benefits for:  | ☑ received benefits for:   |  |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). | <ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li></ul> |  |
| 2. On September 19, 2012, the Department ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced €                    | denied Claimant's application Claimant's benefits  |  |

| <ol> <li>On September 19, 2012, the Department sent</li> <li>☐ Claimant</li> <li>☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)</li> <li>notice of the</li> <li>☐ denial.</li> <li>☐ closure.</li> <li>☐ reduction.</li> </ol>   |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| 4. On September 27, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the  |                    |
| denial of the application. Solution of the case. It reduction of benefits.  |                    |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  |                    |
| Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).   | )                  |
| ☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .  |                    |
| ☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-19342 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3103131. FIP replaced the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.   | 3,<br><del>2</del> |
| ☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc Agency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.   | •                  |
| ☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.  | ).                 |
| ☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M 0400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.   | 'n                 |
| ☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVI and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1990. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 9 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015. | of<br>96.<br>98    |

| Additionally, the claimant was a recepient of Transitional MA (TMA), but had excess income at her redetermination due to the claimant's \$ which exceeded the TM A budget. As a result of her excess income for MA, the claimant was determined eligible for a MA S penddown/Deductible case. After deductions of a \$ of adult's prorated income, insurance premiums \$ and a protected income of \$ the claimant had a deductible of \$ that she must meet before being eligible for MA. |
|--|
| The department has met its burden that the clai mant is eligible for MA with a deductible of \$ that she must meet before being eligible for MA. The department has met its burden that the claimant had excess income for MA.   |
| Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department properly improperly  |
| <ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☑ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☑ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>   |
| for:   |
| DECISION AND ORDER   |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.  |
| Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.   |
| Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services  Date Signed: February 25, 2013   |
| Date Mailed: February 26, 2013   |

3

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/hj

