STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201321129 Issue No.: 2009; 4031 Case No.: Hearing Date: County DHS:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claim ant's request for a hearing. Claimant's request for a hearing was received on was held on a linear transfer of the Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. The Department was represented by

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Hum an Services (Department) properly determine that the Claimant did not meet the disability standard for Medical As sistance based on disability (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On State Claimant submitted an application for Medical Assistance (MA) and State Dis ability Assistance (SDA) benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that the Claimant did not meet the disability standard for Medical As sistance (MA-P) and State Dis ability Assistance (SDA) because it determined that he is capable of performing other work despite his impairments.
- 3. On the Department sent the Claimant notice that it had denied the application for assistance.

- 4. On protesting the Department received the Claimant's hearing request, protesting the denial of disability benefits.
- the Stat e Hearing Review Team (SHRT) uphel d the Medical Rev iew Team's (MRT) denial of Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits.
- 6. The Claim ant applied for federal S upplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits at the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- 7. The Soc ial Security Administrati on (SSA) denied the Claimant's federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) application and the Claimant reported that a SSI appeal is pending.
- 8. The Claimant is a 55-year-old man whos e birth date is Claimant is 5' 7" tall and weighs 235 pounds. The Claimant is a high school graduate. The Claimant is able to read and write and does have basic math skills.
- 9. The Claimant was not engage d in substantial gainful activity at any tim e relevant to this matter.
- 10. The Claimant has a limited history of past relevant work experience.
- 11. The Claimant alleges disability due to bi-polar dis order, depression, hypertension, back spasms, breathing problems, and right foot problems.
- 12. The objective medical ev idence indicates that the Claimant is capable of showering, dressing himself, and handlin g his activ ities of daily living without assistance.
- 13. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant's grip strength is intact, his dexterity is unimpair ed, he is capable of picking up a coin, and opening a door.
- 14. The objective medical evidence i ndicates that the Cla imant has mild difficulty getting on and off an examination table, he has mild difficulty heal and toe walking, and mild difficulty squatting.
- 15. The objective medical evidence indicates that a straight leg test was negative.
- 16. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant has reduced range of motion in his dorsolumbar spine.
- 17. The object ive medic all ev idence in dicates that the Claimant's motor strength is intact, his muscle tone is normal, and sensory is intact.

- 18. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant walk is with a wide based guarded gait without the use of an assistive device.
- 19. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant experiences some mild emphysematous disease.
- 20. The objective medic all evidence in dicates that the Claimant's blood pressure is stable.
- 21. The objective medical evidence indicates that there are no findings of heart failure.
- 22. The objective medic all evidence indicates that there is a significan to myofascial component and the Claim and suffers from occasional numbness in his legs.
- 23. The objective medical evidence i ndicates that the Claimant is stable neurologically.
- 24. The objective medical evidence i ndicates that the Claimant has mild degenerative arthropathy.
- 25. The objective medical evidence i ndicates that the Claimant's v ertebral heights and alignment is satisfactory , disc spaces are well maintained, and there is minimal osteoarthrosis of the hips.
- 26. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant is capable of cutting grass and collecting trash.
- 27. The objective medical evidence i ndicates that the Claimant's pos ture and gait are normal.
- 28. The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant is viewed as having antisocial personality traits.
- 29. The objective medic al evidence in dicates that the Claimant has been diagnosed with adjustment entreaction mixed with mood and behavior problems, an antisocial personality, and post-incarceration adjustment syndrome.
- 30. The objective medical evidence indi cates that the Claimant has moderate psychological symptoms and has moder ate difficulty in social and occupational functioning.
- 31. The objective medic al evidence in dicates that the Claimant has been diagnosed with depressive disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, alcohol dependence in remission, and cocaine dependence in remission.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901 - 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903. Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (Department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (Department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435. 540, the Department uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability under the Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance (SDA) programs. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...inability to do any s ubstantial gainful activity by reason of any medic ally determinable phy sical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order.

STEP 1

Does the client perform Substant ial Gainf ul Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is not disabled.

At step 1, a determination is made on whet her the Claimant is engaging in s ubstantial gainful activity (20 CF R 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that involves doing significant physical or mental activities (20 CFR)

404.I572(a) and 4I6.972(a)). "Gai nful work activity" is work that is usually done for pay or profit, whether or not a profit is realized (20 CF R 404.I572(b) and 416.972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employ ment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that he has demons trated the ability to engage in SGA (20 CF R 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416. 975). If an individual engages in SGA, he is not disabled regardless of how severe his physical or mental impairments are and regardless of his age, education, and work experience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

The Claimant is not engage d in substantial gainful ac tivity and is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

STEP 2

Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is not disabled.

At step two, a determination is made whether the Claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a comb ination of impairments that is "severe" (20 CF R 404. I520(c) and 4I6.920(c)). An impairment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of the regulations if it signific antly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. An impairment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have nome ore than a minimal effect on an individual 's ability to work (20 CF R 404.1521 and 416. 921. If the Claimant does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, he is not disabled. If the Claimant has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

The Claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months, or result in death.

The Claim ant is a 55-year-old man that is 5' 7" tall and weighs 235 pounds. The Claimant alleges disability—due to bipolar disorder, depr—ession, hypertens ion, back spasms, breathing problems, and right foot problems.

The objective medical evidence indicates the following:

The Claim ant's grip strength is intact, his dext erity is unimpaired, and he is capable of picking up a coin and opening a door. The Claimant has mild difficulty getting on and off an examination table, he had mild difficulty heal and toe walking, and he has mild difficulty squatting. The results of a straight leg test were negative. The Claimant has reduced range of motion in his dorsolumbar spine. The Claimant's motor strength is intact, his muscle t one is normal, and sensory is intact. The Claim ant walks with a

wide based guarded gait without the use of an assistive device. The Claimant ex periences some mild emphysematous dis ease. Ther e is significant myofascial component and the Claimant suffers from occasional numbness in his legs. The Claim ant is stable neurologically. The Claim ant has mild dege nerative arthropathy. The Claimant's vertebral heights and alignment are satisfactory, disc spac es are well maintained, and there is minimal osteoarthrosis of the hips. The Claimant's posture and gait are normal.

The Claimant's blood pressure is stable. There are no findings of heart failure.

The Claim ant is viewed as having antisocial per sonality traits. The Claimant's has been diagnosed with adjustment reaction syndrome mixed with mood and behavior problems, an antisocial personality, and pos t-incarceration adjustment syndrome. The Claimant has moderate psychological symptoms and has moderate difficulty in socia I and occupational functioning. The Claimant has been diagnosed with depressive dis order, pos ttraumatic stress disorder, alcohol dependence in remission, and coc aine dependence in remission.

The Claimant is capable of show ering, dressing himself, and handling his activities of daily living without assistance. The Claimant is capable of cutting grass and collecting trash.

The objective medical evidence of record is not sufficient to establish that Claimant has severe impairments that have lasted or are expected to last 12 m onths or more and prevent employment at any job for 12 months or more. Therefore, Claimant is found not to be dis ability at this step. In order to conduct at horough evaluation of Claimant's disability assertion, the analysis will continue.

STEP 3

Does the impairment appear on a special listi ng of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4.

At step three, a determination is made whether the Claimant 's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, S ubpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the Claimant's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of a

listing and meets the duration requirem ent (20 CFR 404.1509 and 416.909), the Claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

The Claimant's impairment failed to meet the listing for bi- polar disorder or depression under section 12.04 Affective disorders becaus e the objective medi cal evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from marke d restrictions of his activities of daily living or social functioning. The objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers form repeated epis odes of decompensati on or that he is unable to function outside a highly supportive living arrangement.

Because hypertension (high blood press ure) generally caus es disability through its effects on other body systems, we will ev aluate it by reference to the s pecific body system(s) affected (heart, brain, kidneys, or eyes) when we consider its effects under the listings. We will a lso consider any limitations imposed by your hypertension when we assess your residual functional capacity. In this case, the objective medical evidence does not support a finding of a listed disability based on hypertension bas ed on its effects on other body systems.

The Claimant's impairment failed to meet the listing for a back injury under section 1.04 Disorders of the spine, because the objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from nerve root compression resulting in loss of motor strength or reflexes, or resulting in a pos itive straight leg test. The objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant has been diagnosed with spinal arachnoiditis. The objective medical evidence does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment has resulted in an inability to ambulate effectively.

The Claimant's impairment failed to meet the listing for right foot problems under section 1.02 Major dysfunction of a joint because the objective medica I evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant's impairment involves a weight bearing joint resulting in inability to ambulate effectively, or an impairment of an upper extremity resulting in inability to perform fine and gross movements effectively.

The objective medical evidence does not support a finding of a listed disability based on breathing problems.

The medical evidence of the Claim ant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in federal code of regula tions 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1.

STEP 4

Can the client do the former work that he performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is not disabled.

Before considering step four of the sequent ial evaluation process, a determination is made of the Claim ant's residual functional capacity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416.920(c)). An individual's residual functional capacity is his ability to do physical and mental work activities on a su stained basis despite limitations from his impairments. In

making this finding, the undersigned must consider all of the Claim ant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe (20 CFR 404. I520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, a determination is m ade on whether the Claimant has the residual function al capacity to perform the requirements of his past relevant work (20 CFR 404.I520(f) and 416.920(f)). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the Claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the Claimant to Learn to do the job and have been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to do his past relevant work, the Claimant is not disabled. If the Claim ant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

After careful consideration of the entire record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform medium work as defined in 20 CFR 404.1567 and 416.967.

The Claimant has had minimal past relevant work experience over the past 15 years.

There is no evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that the Claimant is capable of performing past relevant work because he does not have a history of performing significant gainful work. The Claim and is not disqualified from receiving disability at this step.

STEP 5

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that the Claimant has the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) for Substantial Gainful Activity.

Does the client have the Res idual F unctional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Append ix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, client is not disabled.

At the las t step of the sequential ev aluation proc ess (20 CFR 404.15 20(g) and 416.920(g)), a determination is made whether the Claimant is able to do any other work considering his residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the Claimant is able to do other work, he is not disabled. If the Claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirement, he is disabled.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heavy. These terms have

the same meaning as they have in the Dict ionary of Occupational Titles, publis hed by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occa sionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which in volves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if wa lking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involv es lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walk ing or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work inv olves lifting no more t han 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involv es lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing.

The Claimant's complaints of pain, while pr ofound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to the Claimant's ability to perform work.

Claimant is 55-years-old, a person of advanced age, ov er 55, with a high school education, and no significant work history. Based on the objective medical evidence of record Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform medium work, and Medical Assistance (MA) and State Disability As sistance (SDA) is denied using Vocational Rule 20 CFR 203.06 as a guide.

The Department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for casework ers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable disabled.

person or age 65 or older. BEM 261. Because the Claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and bec ause the evidence of record does not establish t hat the Claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the Claimant does not meet the disability crit eria for State Disab ility Assistance benefits either.

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with Department policy when it determined that the Claimant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance and/or State Disability Assistance.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with Department policy when it denied the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The Claimant should be able to perform a wide range of medium work even with his impairments. The Department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Kevin
Scully
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>04/24/2013</u>

Date Mailed: 04/24/2013

NOTICE: A dministrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mail ing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

201321129/KS

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i fa timely request for re hearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/kl



