## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEI ARTIMERT OF	HOMAN CENTICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County DHS:	20132081 2001 February 14, 2013 Clinton
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne	e E. Harris	
HEARING	DECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Admir and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's rectelephone hearing was held on February Participants on behalf of Claimant include Department of Human Services (Department and	quest for a hearing. A ary 14, 2013, from I d Partic	After due notice, a _ansing, Michigan. ipants on beha <u>lf of</u>
<u>ISS</u>	SUE	
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Clain for:	mant's application 🛚 clo	se Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	<ul><li>✓ Adult Medical Ass</li><li>✓ State Disability As</li><li>✓ Child Developmen</li></ul>	. ,
FINDINGS	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as mate		al, and substantial
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☐ recei</li> </ol>	ived benefits for:	
Family Independence Program (FIP).  Food Assistance Program (FAP).	. Adult Medical Ass	,

2. On October 1, 2012, the Department closed Claimant's case due to excess assets.

☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

Medical Assistance (MA).

3.	On September 12, 2012, the Department sent Claimant notice of the closure.
4.	On September 24, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the:
	☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human crvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Parts 98

Date Mailed: 3/22/13

400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. In this case, the Claimant did not dispute that, at the time the action was taken, he had that were available to him and that those funds totaled containing Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 400 p. 4 sets the AMP asset limit at Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for: X AMP ☐ FIP □ FAP MA SDA CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. Accordingly, the Department's  $\boxtimes$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. Susanne E. Hanis Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: 3/22/13

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant.
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/kl

CC:

