## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	5005 May 15, 2012 Montcalm	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fa	ıhie		
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, Ma y 15, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claim ant included the claimant's daughter, and the claimant's son and personal representative, Department of Human Services (Department) included ES and LW.			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ⊠ deny the Claimant's applic ation ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ State Emergency Relief (SER)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1. Cla imant			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ State Emergency Relief (SER)		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	
2. On October 26, 2012, the Department 🛛 d	enied Claimant's S	SER application	

closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's FAP benefits

due to excess income. 3. On October 26, 2012, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the X denial. closure. reduction. 4. On December 21, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's A HR filed a hearing r protesting the denial of the application. closure of the case. reduction of benefits. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Department policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg. The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seg., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015. The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seg., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE

400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult a and children pursuant to MCL

Date Mailed: May 23, 2013

∑ The State Emergenc y Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049.       Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
Additionally, the claim ant received Soc ial Security RSDI benefits of \$ per month. Department Exhibit 9-11a. The claimant died on October 15, 2012. The claimant's friend, Karen Winters, filed a SER applic ation timely on October 17, 2012. Department Exhibit 12-16. On October 26, 2012, the department caseworker calculated the claimant's eligibility for SER burial benefits where the claimant had an income need standard of which left a co-payment of from her in unearned income. Department Exhibit 1-3. The claimant co-payment was greater than the total amount needed. As a result, the claimant had excess in come for SER burial assistance and her application was denied. ERM 208 and 306.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department  properly improperly
<ul><li>☑ denied Claimant's application</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li></ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's  AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC  SER decision is  AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services  Date Signed: May 23, 2013

3

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- · the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/hj

