#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
Issue No.:
Case No.:
Hearing Date:
County:

2013-20247 3015

March 6, 2013 Macomb County DHS #12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on W ednesday, March 6, 2013 fr om Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant in cluded the claimant and her authorized representative and daughter, Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included . APS.

# **ISSUE**

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation ☐ close Claimant's case ⊠ reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits for: received benefits for:

Х	

Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).

2. On December 8, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application  $\Box$  closed Claimant's case  $\boxtimes$  reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.

- 3. On December 8, 2012, the Department sent
  - Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) of the denial. Closure.
- 4. On December 19, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hear ing reques t, protesting the
  ☐ denial of the application.
  ☐ closure of the case.
  ☑ reduction of benefits.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fe deral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claim ant was a recipient of Social Security (SS) RSDI b enefits in the amount of **\$ Department Exhibit 3**, pg 1-3.

As a result of exces s income, the claim ant had a decrease in F AP benefits. After deductions from her gross income of \$ of \$ standard deduction and a medical deduction for MA part B of \$ for an adjusted gr oss income of \$ The claimant was given a total shelter deduction of \$ resulting from a housing expense of \$ and heat and utility standard of \$ The claimant was given an adjusted exces s shelter deduction of \$ wit h a total s helter deduction of \$ m inus 5 0% of adjusted gross income of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ The claimant had a net income of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ which was the adiusted gross incom e of \$ minus the excess shelter deduction of \$ With a the clai mant qualified with a househo Id group size of 1 for a net incom e of \$ maximum benefit of \$ plus \$ in ec onomic recovery (\$ minus 30% of net resulting in a net benefit am ount of \$ Department Exhibit 6, pages income of \$ 1-2.

The department has met its bu rden that the claimant had exc ess income for FAP resulting in a decrease in FAP benefits from **\$100** to **\$100** 

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La income, the Department income incom

denied Claimant's application

reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idid act properly idid not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP K FAP AA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 13, 2013

Date Mailed: March 13, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# CGF/hj

