STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201319712 Issue No: 2009; 4031 Case No:

Hearing Date: April 10, 2013
Presque Isle County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday; April 10, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony on his behalf. Particip ants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was medical recovery established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On May 10, 2012 Claimant had his most recent favorable medica I decision.
- On December 18, 2012 the DHS terminated Claima nt's MA-P/SDA based on medical recovery per BEM 260/261, with a hearing request on December 27, 2012.
- 3. Claimant was age 20 wit h a high school or more education and past 15 years of work experience as a semiski lled trouble shooter in a factory with electronic repair equipment, maintenance technician, and training for the job; and skilled work experience as an electrician apprentice for one year, and currently working part-time as a building cleaner, three days a week, four to five hours a week.
- 4. Claimant alleges c ontinued disability due to subarac hnoid hemorrhage aneurism. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 49).

201319712/WAS

- 5. Claimant has no disabling symptoms.
- 6. Medical reports of exams state the claimant on:
 - a. August 14, 2012: His condition is *stable*. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 10).
 - b. November 14, 2012: Ha s a stable condition. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 26).
 - c. November 14, 2012: Is well no urished, well hydrated, and in no acute distress; that his gait and station are *normal*. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 35).
- 7. State Hearing Review Team decis ion dated February 15, 2013 states the Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal a Social Se curity listing for the required duration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 49).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridge es Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...Ability to engage in substantial gainful activity. In most instances, we must show that you are able to engage in substantial gainful act ivity before your benefits are stopped. When doing this, we will consider all your courrent impairments not just that impairment(s) present at the time of

the most recent favorable e determination.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(v).

...To assur e that disability reviews are carried out in a uniform manner, that a decision of continuing disab ility can be made in the most expedi tious and administratively efficient way, and that any decision to stop disability benefits are made objectively, neutrally and are fully documented, we will follow specific steps in reviewing the question of whether your disab ility contin ues. Our review may cease an denefits may be continued at any point if we determine there is sufficient evidence to find that you are still unable to engage in substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5).

The steps are:

Step 1. Do you have an impai rment or combination of impairments which meets or equals the severity of an impairment listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of Part 404 of this chapter? If you do, your disability will be found to continue. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(i).

Step 2. If you do not, ha s there been a medical improvement as def ined in pa ragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section? If there has been me dical improvement as s hown by a decrease in medical s everity, see Step 3 in paragraph (b)(5)(iii) of this section. If there has been no decrease in medical severity, there has been no medical improvement. (see Step 4 in paragraph (b)(5)(iv) of this section.) 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(ii).

Step 3. If there has been m edical improvement, we must determine whether it is related to your ability to do w ork in accordance with paragraphs (b)(1)(l) through (b)(1)(iv) of this section; i.e., whether of not there has been an increase in the residual functional capacity based on the impairment(s) that was present at the time of the most recent favorable medical determination. If medical improvement is not related to your ability to do w ork, see Step 5 in paragraph (b)(5)(v) of this section. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(iii).

Step 4. If we found in Step 2 in paragraph (b)(5)(ii) of this section that there has been no medical improvement or if we found at Step 3 in paragraph (b)(5)(iii) of this section that the medical improvement is not related to your ability to work, we consider whether any of the exceptions in paragraphs (b)(3) and (b)(40 of this section apply. If none of them apply, your disability will be found to continue. If any of the first

group of exceptions to medica I improvement applies, see Step 5 in paragraph (b)(5)(v) of this section. If an exception from the second group of exceptions to medical improvement applies, your disability will be found to have ended. The second group of exceptions to medical improvement may be considered at any point in this process. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(iv).

Step 5. If medical improvement is shown to be related to your ability to do work or if any of the first group of exceptions to medical im provement applies, we will determine whether all your current impairments in combination are severe (see Sec. 416.921). This determination will consider all your current impairments and the impact of the combination of these impairments on your ability to function. If t he residual functional c apacity assessment in Step 3 in paragra ph (b)(5)(iii) of this section shows significant limitation to your ability to do basic work activities, see Step 6 in paragraph (b)(5)(iv) of this section. When the evidence shows that all your current impairments in combination do not signific antly limit y our physic al or mental abilities to do basic work activities, these impairments will not be considered severe in nature. If so, you will no longer be considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(v).

Step 6. If your impai rment(s) is severe, we will asses s your current ability to engage in s ubstantial gainful activ ity in accordance with 41 6.961. That is, we will ass ess your residual functional capacity based on all your current impairments and consider whether you can still do work that you have done in the past. If you can do such work, disability will b e found to have ended. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(vi).

Step 7. If you are not able to do work you have done in the past, we will cons ider one fina I step. Given the residual functional capacity assessment and c onsidering y our age, education, and past work experience, c an you do other work? If you can, dis ability will be found to have ended. If you cannot, disability will be found to continue. 20 CF R 416.994(b)(5)(vii).

...Ability to engage in substantial gainful activity. In most instances, we must show that you are able to engage in substantial gainful act ivity before your benefits are stopped. When doing this, we will consider all your courrent impairments not just that impairment(s) present at the time of

the most recent favorabl e determination.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(v).

Step 1

...If you have an im pairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find y ou disabled wit hout considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

For each of the major body sy stems, the List of Impairments describes impairments which are considered severe enough to prevent a person from doing any substantial gainful activity. Most of the listed impairments are permanent or expected to result in death, or make a specific statement of duration. (20 CFR 404.1525 and 416.925).

The objective medical evidence of record does not establish a continuing disability at Step 1. Therefore the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

Step 2

...If you are entitled to disability benefit s as a disabled person age 18 or over (adult) there are a number of factors we consider in deciding whether your disability continues. We must determine if t here has been any medical improvement in your impairment (s) and, if s o, whether this medical im provement is related to your ability to work. If your impairment(s) has not so medically improved, we must consider whether one or more of the exceptions to medical improvement applies. If medi cal improvement related to your abilit y to work has not occurred and no exc applies, your benefits will continue. Ev en where m edical improvement related to your ability to work has occurred or an exception applies, in most cases, we must also show that you are currently able to engage in s ubstantial gainful activity before we can find that vou are no longer dis abled. 20 CFR 416.994(b).

Medical improvement . Medical impro vement is any decrease in the medical severity of your impairment(s) which was present at the time of the most recent favorable medical decision that you were disabled or continued to be disabled. A determination that there has been a decrease in medical severity must be based on changes (improvement) in the symptoms, signs and/or laboratory findings associated with your impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(i).

201319712/WAS

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #5) are inconsiste nt with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6). Al so, the claimant testified that he had the functional capacity to convert from his current part-time work to full-time work if offered and that he is limited to lifting 30 pounds.

The medic all evidence of record shows an improvement by a decrease in medical severity. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to Step 3.

Step 3

Medical improvement that is related t o ability to do work. Medical improvement is related to your ability to work if there has been a decrease in the severity, as defined in ction, of the impair paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this se present at the time of the mo st recent favorable medica I decision and an incr ease in your functional capac ity to do basic work activities as discu ssed in paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this section. A determinatio n that medical improv ement related to your ability to do work has occ urred does not, necessarily, mean that your disability will be found to have ended unless it is also shown that you are currently able to engage in substantial gainful activity as discus sed in paragraph (b)(1)(v) of this section.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iii).

Basic wor k activities means the ab ilities and a ptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Included are exertional abilities such as walking, standing, pus hing, pulling, reaching and carrying, and non-exertional abi lities and a ptitudes such as seeing, hearing, speaking, re membering, us ing judgment, dealing with changes and dealing with both supervisor s and fellow workers.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

...If medical improvement has occurred, we will compare your current functional capacity to do bas ic work activities (i.e., your residual functional capacity) based on the previously existing impairment swith your prior residual functional capacity in order to determine whether the medical improvement is related to your ability to do work. The most recent favorable medical decision is the latest decision involving a consideration of the medical evidence and the issue of whether you were disabled or continued to be disabled which became final. 20 CFR 416.994(b) (1)(vi).

The objective medical evidence of record es tablished the Claimant's functional capacity to do basic work activities. Therefore, the se quential evaluation is required to continue to Step 5.

Step 5

The objective medical evidence of record shows the Claimant's current impairments in combination do not significantly limit his physical ability to do basic work activities, as defined above. Therefore, claimant's impairments are no longer considered severe and disabling. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 5.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be dis abled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does establish that claimant is able to work , the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides medical recovery has been established.

Accordingly, MA-P termination is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

/s/

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 3, 2013

Date Mailed: May 3, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/hj

cc:

201319712/WAS

