STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No: 201319165

Issue No: 2009 Case No:

Hearing Date: April 9, 2013

Saginaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday ; Ap ril 9, 2013. Clai mant appeared an d provided testimony on his behalf with provided testimony on his behalf with provided testimony on his behalf with provided testimony.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant's MA-P a pplication on July 26, 2012 was denied on September 24, 2012 per BEM 260, with a hearing request on November 14, 2012.
- 2. Vocational factors: Age 43, with an eighth grade education, and past 15 years as a semiskilled house builder.
- Claimant last worked in June, 2012.
- Claimant alleges disability due to a heart disorder. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 63).
- 5. Claimant's disabling symptoms are intermittent chest pain.
- 6. Medical reports of exams state the claimant on:

- a. July 14, 2012: Had both heart sounds audible without any murmur or gallop; that left main coronary artery is *normal*; that heart size is at the upper limits of *normal* and that he has *mild* vascular congestion but no edema or consolidation. (DHS Exhibit A, Pgs. 14, 40, & 47).
- b. July 17, 2012: Has regular ra te and rhythm of the CBS. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 28).
- c. July 18, 2012: His c ardiac si lhouette and pulmonary vascular are *within normal limits*.
- d. July 20, 2012: Is limit ed to lifting greater than 10 pounds, bending, stooping, or straining; that he is limited to no excessive stair climbing; that he should avoid st raining for bowel m ovements or violent coughing. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 129).
- e. October 9, 2012: Has normal S1, S2, with no murmurs, rubs, or gallops are appreciated. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 173).
- f. November 15, 2012: His heart rate and rhythm are normal; that his musculoskeletal system is normal overall. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 56).
- 7. State Hearing Review Team decis ion dated February 13, 2013 states the Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal a Social Se curity listing for the required duration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 262).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity

of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analys is of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the anal ysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform oth er work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpar t P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the anal ysis ends and the c lient is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The claimant had the burden of proof to establish disability in accordance with steps 1-4 below... 20CFR 416.912 (a). The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at Step 5... 20CF R 416.960 (c)(2).

Step 1 disability is not denied. The evidence of record established the Claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since June, 2012. Therefore, the sequentia levaluation is required to continue to the next step.

At Step 2 disab ility is denied. The objective medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's significant functional incapacity to perform basic work activities due to a severe physical impairment for the one year continuous duration.

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic w ork activities. When we talk about basic wor k activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

SEVERE IMPAIRMENT

To qualify for MA-P, claimant must first satisfy both the gai of nful work and the duration criteria (20 CFR 416. 920(a)) before further review under severity criteria. If claimant does not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, an ultimate ly favorable disability determination cannot result. (20 CFR 416.920(c)).

Acceptable medical sources about your impai rments are an M.D. or D.O. or fully licensed psychologist... BEM 260. Medical reports would include:

In cases of mental impairment s, your ability to reason or make occupational, personal, or social adjustments. ...20 CFR 416.913(a)(c)(1) and (2).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #5) are inconsiste nt with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6).

The medical evidence of record shows that the Claimant's exam inations were normal and unremarkable; that his m edical impairments were le ss than severe; and that his condition is stable and improving (not deteriorating).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medi cal assessments of Cla imant's basic work limitations for the required dur ation. Stated differently, the me dical reports do not establish whether the Claim ant is impair ed slightly, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above.

The Claimant has not sustained his bur den of proof to establis h a seve re mental/physical impairment in combination, instead of a non-severe impairment, for the required duration.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 2.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Step 2 by the competent . material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

/s/ William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 26, 2013

Date Mailed: April 29, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/hj

