## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-18474 2021 May 16, 2013 Livingston
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. F	ahie	
HEARING DEC	ISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ ra and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request telephone hearing was held on Thursday, Ma Participants on behalf of Claimant in cluded representative, Care Center of Farmington. Participants on behalf (Department) included Essential Control of Claimant in Cluded Care Center of Farmington. Participants on behalf (Department) included Care Center of Control of Claimant in Cluded Care Center of Farmington. Participants on behalf (Department) included Care Center of Control of Claimant in Cluded Care Center of Control of C	for a hearing. Afte y 16, 2013 from L d the claimant and Manager of White	er due notice, a ansing, Michigan. her authorized Pines Rehabiliationa
ISSUE		
Due to excless assets, did the Department proportional close Claimant's case for:	erly 🛚 deny the C	laimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		Assistance (AMP)?  Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on tevidence on the whole record, including the test fact:		
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received	penefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☒ Medical Assistance (MA).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li></ul>	Adult Medical A	Assistance (AMP).  Assistance (SDA).
2. Due to excess assets, on November 19, 2012 ⊠ denied Claimant's application. ☐ close	2, the Department ed Claimant's case	<b>)</b> .

3.	On November 19, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On December 5, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.
\$2 wa bu mo no Wh cla	ditionally, the claimant provided information of additional assets that put her over the ,000 asset limit. Department Exhibit 36-37. There was an account with \$ that is her mother's where she was listed on the account to write checks for her mother, it her mother passed away in 2002. Therefore, for all internts and purposes, the oney in the account is the claimant's. Even though the claimant argued that she did to know about the account, the claimant's name was on the account with her mother. Then her mother died, the claimant became the owner of the account. As a result, the simant had excess assets, which made her ineligible for MA. BAM 105, 130, and 205. EM 166, 400, and 405. ERM 205.

## 201318474/CGF

Date Mailed: May 23, 2013

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department
<ul> <li>☑ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>☐ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ FAP decision is ☒ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/ Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director  Department of Human Services  Date Signed: May 23, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/hj

cc: