STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201317858

Issue No.:

4070

Hearing Date:

May 2, 2013

County: Clare

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 2, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist (ES)

ISSUE

Did the Department properly take action to reduce the Claimant's State Supplemental Payment (SSP) benefit?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is an ongoing recipient of monthly SSP benefits in the amount of \$14.00.
- 2. There is no DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action in the record, however, the Department testified that on November 20, 2012, it sent the Claimant notice that his monthly SSP benefit was reduced to 10.50.
- 3. On December 6, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's written hearing request protesting the reduction in his monthly SSP.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). ☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. ☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

In this case, the ES at the hearing was not the worker who took action in the Claimant's case. Indeed, the ES read a portion of the relevant DHS-1605, Notice of Case action into the record, and that portion of the notice that indicated why the Claimant's benefit was reduced, was cut off. The hearing summary contains no policy citation. The ES could not testify why it is that the Claimant's benefit was reduced and there is no

evidence in the record indicating why it is that the Claimant's benefit was reduced. The Administrative Law Judge therefore concludes that the evidence is insufficient to establish that the Department was acting in accordance with its policy when taking action to reduce the Claimant's SSP benefit.

DECISION AND ORDER

	·
	the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \boxtimes SSP \square CDC decision IED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
—	ARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF F MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
	Initiate action to re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for SSP back to December 1, 2012, and
2.	If it is again determined that the reduction in the Claimant's case is proper, provide an explanation as to why that is, or
	If it is determined that the reduction was in error, initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplement he may thereafter be due.
	Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge
	for Maura Corrigan, Director
	Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 3, 2013

Date Mailed: May 6, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

cc: