STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201317372

Issue No: 2009

Case No:

Hearing Date: March 26, 2013

Bay County DHS



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on Tuesday; Ma rch 26, 2013. Claimant appeared an diprovided testimony on his be half with Advomas' represent ative, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant's MA-P (and 3 months retro) application on August 14, 2012 was denied on October 3, 2012 per BEM 260, with a hearing request on December 10, 2012.
- 2. Vocational factors: Age 46, high school or more education, and semiskilled work experience as a certified nurses assistant.
- Claimant was last employed in August, 2011.
- Claimant's disabling symptoms are chronic pa in throughout whole body and limited to lifting/carrying half gallon of liquid.
- Claimant a lleges dis abling medical dis orders ar e macular degeneration, asthma, coronary artery disease, mi tro valve prolapse, porphyria, rightsided weakness, speech and fibromyalgia. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 406).

- 6. Medical reports of exams state the claimant on:
 - a. January 3, 2012: His motor strength is *normal* throughout; that tone and bulk are *normal* in all four extremities; that she has a slow and cautious gait. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 162).
 - b. January 15, 2012: C2-C3, C3-C4, and C4-C5 levels are normal; that at C5-C6 there is mild degenerative disc changes; that at C6-C7 there are moderate degenerative disc changes with mild bilateral degenerative ridges and bulges of disc material; that she has mild spinal canal stenosis; that there is no evidence of significant cord compression. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 158).
 - c. March 30, 2012: Denies any chest pains. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 103).
 - d. May 1, 2012: Her examination areas for HEET lungs, heart, blood pressure, abdomen, and extremities are *normal*.
 - e. June 10, 2012: Has no acute or active cardiopulmonary process. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 38).
 - f. July 3, 2012: Has a *normal* examination of the HEET heart, lungs, blood pressure, abdomen and extremities. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 14).
 - g. August 22, 2012: Her physical exam ination of the extremities was unremarkable. (Claimant Exhibit 1, Pg. 28).
- 7. State Hearing Review Team decis ion dated February 12, 2013 states the Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal a Social Se curity listing for the required duration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 406).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform oth er work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpar t P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the anal ysis ends and the c lient is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The claimant had the burden of proof to establish disability in accordance with steps 1-4 above... 20CFR 416.912 (a). The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at Step 5... 20CFR 416.960 (c)(2).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effe cts of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Step 1

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

The evidence of record established that the claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since August, 2011. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

Step 2

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Basic w ork activities. When we talk about basic wor k activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medical assessments of Claimant's basic work limitations for the required duration. Stated differently, the medical reports do not establish whether the Claim ant is impaired slightly, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above.

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #4) are inconsistent with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6).

...Your sy mptoms, i ncluding pain, will be determined t o diminish your capacity for basic work activities...to the extent that your alleged functional limitations and restrictions due to symptoms, such as pain, can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the objective medical evidence and other evidence. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(4).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

The medical reports (Findings of Fact #6) state the Claimant's examinations were within normal limitations; that her im pairment was minimal to modera te (not severe); and that his condition is stable (not deteriorating).

201317372/WAS

The Claim ant has not sustai ned her burden of proof to es tablish a severe physical impairment in combination, instead of a non-severe impairment, for the required one year duration.

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Steps 3, 4, and 5.

Step 3

...If you have an im pairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find y ou disabled wit hout considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

For each of the major body syst ems, the List of Impairments describes impairments which are considered severe enough to prevent a person from doing any substantial gainful activity. Most of the listed impairments are permanent or expected to result in death, or make a specific statement of duration. (20 CFR 404.1525 and 416.925).

The Claimant introduced no obj ective medical evidence of record that her impairments meets/equals a social security listing for the required duration. The SHRT physician agreed.

Step 4

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CF R 416.920(e).

...We consider that your work experience applies when it was done within the last 15 years, lasted long enough for you to learn to do it, and was substantial gainful activity. We do not usually consider that work you did 15 year or more before the time we are deciding whether you are disabled applies.... 20 CFR 416.965(a).

The claimant introduced no objective medical evidence of a severe impairment for the required one year continuous duration under Step 2 and inabi lity to perform past work under Step 4.

Step 5

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impai rment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacit y and your age, educ ation, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacit y is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (conto) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary w ork. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occa sionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which in volves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if wa lking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Younger person. If you are under age 50, we generally do not consider that your age will s eriously affect your ability to adapt to a new work situation.... 20 CFR 416.963(b).

...If you are unemployed because of your age and y ou can still do a significant number of jobs which exist in the national economy, we will find that y ou are not disabled.... 20 CFR 416.963(a).

The Claimant introduced no objective medical evidence under Step 4 of inability to do past work (Findings of Fact #2). This ALJ fi nds that the Claimant sh ould be able to do less strenuous work than her past work, su chas sedentary type work, as defined above.

201317372/WAS

Under the medical-vocational guidelines, Rule 201.21, a younger person aged 41 with a high school or more education and, an semiskill ed work history that is limited to sedentary work is not considered disabled.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at St ep 2, and als o would not have been established at steps 3, 4, and 5 by the competent, material and s ubstantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

<u>/s/</u>

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 7, 2013

Date Mailed: June 10, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/hj



