# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg No: 201316157 Issue No: 2009 Case No:

Hearing Date: March 28, 2013 Saginaw County DHS-00

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on March 28, 2013. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant's Authorized Representative ADVOMAS through Steven Hosmer also appeared. The Department was represented by Connie Herman MARA worker.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Medical Assistance application?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA-P on June 28, 2012 with a request for retroactive coverage back to March 2012.
- 2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on September 26, 2012.
- 3. Claimant filed a request for hearing on November 16, 2012, regarding the MA denial.
- 4. An in person hearing was held on March 28, 2013.
- On February 6, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team denied the application because the medical evidence of record does not document a mental/physical impairment that significantly limits the Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities.
- 6. Claimant is 5' 10" tall and weighs 285 pounds.

- 7. Claimant is 24 years of age.
- 8. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, cellulitis, blood clots, and learning disability.
- 9. Claimant has the following symptoms: pain, fatigue, and leg swelling.
- 10. Claimant completed high school.
- 11. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
- 12. Claimant is not working. Claimant last worked in 2006, part time, as a food service worker.
- 13. Claimant lives with his grandmother.
- 14. Claimant testified that he cannot perform some household chores.
- 15. Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:
  - a. Coumadin
  - b. HCTZ
  - c. Hydrocodone
- 16. Following hearing updated records were gathered and forwarded to the State Hearing Review. Claimant agreed to this and waived timeliness standards.
- 17. On June 13, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team, again, denied Claimant's appeal because the medical evidence of record does not document a mental/physical impairment that significantly limits the Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities.
- 18. In IQ testing completed in 2007, Claimant had verbal IQ score of 87, full performance of 94, and full scale of 89.
- 19. Claimant testified to the following physical limitations:

I. Sitting: 60-90 minutes

II. Standing: 60 minutes

III. Walking: 1-2 blocks

IV. Bend/stoop: no difficulty

V. Lifting: 20-30 lbs.

VI. Grip/Grasp: None

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA-P) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA-P program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified a this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Claimant is considered disabled is whether the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

### 201316157/AM

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching carrying or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical evidence of record supports a finding that Claimant has significant physical and mental limitations upon Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling; Medical evidence has clearly established that the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63. In the third step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listings 7.08 and 12.05 were considered.

The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient, without supporting medical evidence, to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analysis to be considered is whether the Claimant has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Claimant within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent the Claimant from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Claimant's past employment was as a food service worker. Working as a food service worker as testified to by Claimant would be considered light work. The Claimant's impairments would not prevent him from doing past relevant work, because he is capable of performing work on light exertional level. Therefore Claimant's appeal is denied at step 4. Claimant failed to present substantial medical evidence that he has a psychological impairment that is substantially limiting.

# **DECISION AND O RDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Claimant is not medically disabled for the purposes of the MA program.

Accordingly, the Department's decision in the above stated matter is, hereby, **AFFIR 1ED**.

Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
f r Maura Corrigan, Director
Dep rtment of Human Services

Date Si 3ned: 07/0 3/2013

Date Mailed: <u>07/0 1/2013</u>

NOTIC :: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 lays of the mailing date of this Decision an I Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original reques.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for repearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claima it may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A renearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that coul | affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A re onsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual polic / or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathem itical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

# 201316157/AM

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# AM/pw

ADVOMAS
DHS-Saginaw County
EQAD
DHS-DDS-DH
A. McClintic
MAHS