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## DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400. 9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Claim ant appeared and testified. The Department was represented by

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Medical Assi stance program (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) applications?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the com petent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for MA-P and SDA on $\square$, with a request for retroactive coverage back to $\quad$.
2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on
3. Claimant filed a request for hearing on
 MA and SDA denials.
4. A telephone hearing was held on
5. On , the St ate Hearing Rev iew Team denied the application becaus e the medic al ev idence of recor dindicates that the Claimant reasonably retains the ability to perform gainful activities with the following limitations: lift occasionally 20\#, frequently 10\#; sit/stand/walk six or eight hours; no us e of ropes/ladders/scaffolding; occasion al ramps/stairs, balance, kneel, crouch and crawl; frequently stoop; limited
near and far right visual acuity, right field of vision and bilateral depth perception; the Claim ant ought to av oid the use of dangerous $m$ achinery and exposure to unprotected heights.
6. Claimant is $5^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ tall and weighs 130 pounds.
7. Claimant is 45 years of age.
8. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as right eye blindness and headaches.
9. Claimant has the following symptoms: pain.
10. Claimant completed high school and some college.
11. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
12. Claimant is not work ing. Claimant last worked in as a stadium us her. Claimant previously worked as a machine operator and customer service worker.
13. Cla imant lives with his parents.
14. Claimant testified that he can perform household chores.
15. Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:
a.
16. Claimant testified that vision is his left eye was "fair".
17. Eye testing completed on
 showed 20/20 vision in Claimant's left eye and st ated Claimant was not to lift greater than 15 pounds.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her clai $m$ for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients $h$ ave the right to contes $t$ a department decision affecting elig ibility or benefit levels whenev er it is believed that the decis ion is incorrect. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review th e decis ion and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medic al Assistance (MA-P) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is im plemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA-P program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which pr ovides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. , and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180.
Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administra tive Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (PRM).

The Department conforms to state statute in administering the SDA program. 2000 PA 294, Sec. 604, of the statute states:
(1) The department shall operat e a state disability as sistance program. Except as provided in subsection
(3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempted from the supplemental secu rity income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting 1 or more of the following requirements:
(a) A recipient of supplemental security income, social security, or medical assistance due to disability or 65 years of age or older.
(b) A person with a phy sical or mental impairment whic $h$ meets federal supplemental se curity income disability standards, exc ept that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Sub stance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Feder al Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disab ility under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:
...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable ph ysical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations $r$ equire that the department use $t$ he same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).


#### Abstract

"Disability" is: ...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.


In determining whether an indiv idual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual f unctional c apacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not di sabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if $t$ he indiv idual is working and if the work is substantial gainful ac tivity. 20 CFR 416.9 20(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working; therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified a this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in consi dering whether the Clai mant is considered disabled is whether the severity of the impa irment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered s evere which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ab ility to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

1. Physical functions $s$ uch as walkin $g$, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching carrying or handling;
2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
4. Use of judgment;
5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical ev idence of record supports a finding that Claimant has signific ant physic al and mental limitati ons upon Claimant's abili ty to perform basic work activities such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling; Medical evidence has clearly established that the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the analysis s, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record does not support a fin nding that the Claimant's impair rment(s) is a "lis ted impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listing 2.02 was considered.

The person claiming a physical I or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/pre scribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conc elusory statement by a physici an or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient, without supporting medical evidence, to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analys is to be cons idered is whether the Claimant has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Claimant within the past 15 y ears. The trier of fact must determine whet her the imp pairment(s) prevent the Claimant from doing past relevant work. In the present case , the Claimant's past employment was as a stadium usher and security worker. Working as a sec urity worker based on testimony presented at hearing would be considered light exertional work and does not require fine visual acuity. Claimant would be able to perform his past relevant work. Therefore, Claimant's appeal is denied at step 4.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon $t$ he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that Claimant is not medically disabled fo $r$ the purposes of MA-P and SDA eligibility.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby AFFIRMED.
Aaron
Administrative
for
Department

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { McClintic } \\
\text { Maura Corrigan, Director } \\
\text { of Human Services }
\end{gathered}
$$

Date Signed: 04/23/2013
Date Mailed: $\underline{04 / 23 / 2013}$

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsider ation on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decis ion and O rder. Administrative Hearings will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's moti on where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehea ring was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical erro $r$, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
AM/kl
cc:


