STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Issue No: 1005
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic	
HEARING DECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on Claimant's Attorney, appeared for the Claimant. The Department was represented by and	
<u>ISSUE</u>	
Did the Department pr operly close Claim ant's Fami ly Independence program (FIP) benefits?	
FINDINGS OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon — the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	
Claimant was a recipient of FI P benefit to a second	fits and was sent an appointment uppear at the JET program on
 A triage meeting was held on to not have good cause for failing to par 	, and Claimant was found ticipate with JET.
Claimant requested a hearing on closure of FIP benefits.	, contesting the

Claimant failed to appear at the scheduled JET app ointment because she

was obtaining a personal protection order against the father of her child.

4.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence program (FIP) was es tablished pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependant Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference e manual (PRM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) prov ides temporary cash as sistance to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. The recipients of FIP engage in employment and self-sufficiency-related activities so they can become self-supporting. Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment related activities unless to mporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. BEM 230A.

chigan Department of Labor and Economic JET is a program administered by the Mi Growth (D LEG) through the Michigan Wo rks Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers an d job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide eco nomic self -sufficiency. BEM 230 A. A mandatory participant in the JET program who fails without good cause to participate in employment activity must be penalized. BEM 233(a). The pena Ity for the first occurrence of noncompliance in the JET program is a closure for a minimum of three calendar months under the FI P program. B EM 233(a). Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment related activities. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for applicants, mem bers, and recipient s. BEM Manual Item 230(a), BEM Manual Item 230(b); 7 CFR Parts 272 and 273.

In the present case, Claim ant failed to attend her JET appointment on . This Adm inistrative Law Judge finds t hat Claimant had good cause for failing to participate with JET due to domestic violence related issue s. Specifically, on the day of the JET appointment she was filling a personal protection order against her child's father due to concern for her safety after receiving threatening phone calls. Therefore, it was improper and incorrect for the Department to close Claimant's FIP benefits. BEM 233A.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was incorrect in the closure of Claimant's FIP benefits and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision in this regard be and is



hereby **REVERSED**. Claimant's FIP benefits shall closure and the negative action shall be deleted.

be reinstated going back to the

_____Aaron

Administrative for Department

McClintic Law Judge Maura Corrigan, Director of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>03/04/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>03/05/2013</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsider ation on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decis ion and O rder. Administrative Hearings will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical e rror, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision



Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AM/kl

