STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County DHS:	201315652 1025 Kent		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scu	lly			
HEARING D	ECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on May 2, 2013 behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included .	est for a hearing. After	due notice, a Participants on		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly deny Claims for:	ant's application ⊠ close C	laimant's case		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistand ☐ State Disability Assistand ☐ Child Development and	nce (SDÁ)?		
FINDINGS (OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as materi	•	nd substantial		
Claimant □ applied for benefits □ received benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistan☐ State Disability Assista☐ Child Development and	nce (SDA).		

2.	On the Kent County Prosecuting Attorney's office sent the Claimant notice that an appointment had been scheduled for discuss the paternity of one of her children.			
3.	On, the Kent County Prosecuting Attorney's office sent the Claimant notice that an appointment had been scheduled for discuss the paternity of one of her children.			
4.	The Office of Child Support sent the Claimant a Child Support Information (DHS-842) and requested that she submit information concerning the father of one of her children.			
5.	On the contact one of its representatives no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later than the contact one of its representative no later			
6.	On the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact one of its representatives no later than the Claimant contact on the C			
7.	On the Claimant that it had found her to be in noncooperation status.			
8.	On the Department			
	☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to the Claimant's noncooperation with the Office of Child Support and the Kent County Prosecuting Attorney's office.			
9.	On, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial closure.			
10	On, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.				

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Families are strengthened when children's needs are met. Parents have a responsibility to meet their children's needs by providing support and/or cooperating with the department, including the Office of Child Support (OCS), the Friend of the Court (FOC) and the prosecuting attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 255 (December 1, 2011), p 1.

The custodial parent or alternative caretaker of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. Cooperation is a condition of eligibility. BEM 255.

Any individual required to cooperate who fails to cooperate without good cause causes group ineligibility for a minimum of one month. BEM 255.

Date Mailed: 05/09/2013

While the Claimant argued that she had provided the Office of Child Support and the Kent County Prosecuting Attorney's office, she was unable to establish that she provided the information requested on the Child Support Information form. The Claimant failed to establish by evidence or testimony that she responded to repeated requests for information from the Kent County Prosecuting Attorney's office and the Office of Child Support. The Claimant failed to establish that she attended appointment set up by the Kent County Prosecuting Attorney's office.

The Claimant failed to establish good cause for her failure to cooperate with the Office of Child Support and the Kent County Prosecuting Attorney's office.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Dep				
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claiman □ improperly closed Claiman 	• •			
for:				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact an of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Departmen				
☑ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.				
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
For Maura Cor Department of Hu	Kevin Scully ive Law Judge rrigan, Director uman Services			
Date Signed: <u>05/09/2013</u>				

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kI

CC:

