## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Reg. No: 201315016 Issue No: 4003 Case No: June 12, 2013 Kent County DHS				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist					
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for person hearing was held on June 12, 2013 from Gon behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Partitional Particles (Department) included	a hearing. After due notice, an in- Grand Rapids, Michigan. Participants				
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Due to excess income, did the Department properly close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's ber					
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he conceived evidence on the whole record, finds as material factors.	ompetent, material, and substantial t:				
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ rec	eived benefits for:				
☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).				
<ol> <li>On November 9, 2012, the Department ☐ der ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claima due to excess income.</li> </ol>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
3. On November 9, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant's Authorized Reprinction of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐	resentative (AR) reduction.				

4. On November 19, 2012, Clain	nant or	Claimant's AHF	R filed a hear	ing reques t
protesting the ☐ denial of the application.	⊠ closur	e of the case.	reduction of	benefits.
<u>c</u>	CONCLUSIO	ONS OF LAW		
Department policies are contain Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM)		•		(BAM), the
☐ The Adult Medical Program ( administered by the Department				5, and is
☐ The Family Independence Pr Responsibility and W ork Opport 42 USC 601, <i>et seq</i> . The Depa Agency) administers FIP pursua 3131. FI P replac ed the Aid t October 1, 1996.	tunity Reco artment (forr ant to MCL 4	nc iliation Act of merly k nown a 100.10, <i>et seq.</i> , a	1996, Public L s the Family Inc and 1997 AACS	aw 104-193, lependence R 400.3101-
☐ The Food Assistanc e Progr program] is establis hed by the implemented by the federal re Regulations (CFR). The Depa Agency) administers FAP pur 400.3001-3015.	e Food St gulations co artment (for	amp Act of 197 ontained in Title merly known a	7, as amend 7 of the Code s the Family Inc	ed, and is of Federal lependenc
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA Security Act and is im plemented The Department (formerly known MA program pursuant to MCL 40	d by Title 42 n as the F a	of the Code of mily Independe	Federal Regulance Agency) ad	itions (CFR).
☑ The State Disabilit y Assistan for disabled persons, is establis as the F amily Independence Ag 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000	hed by 2004 Jency) admi	4 PA 344. The in sters the SDA	Department (for A program pursu	merly known
☐ The Child Development and and XX of the Soc ial Security of 1990, and the Personal Responsible program is implemented by and 99. The Department provided 190.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 40.	Act, the Ch sibility and \ Title 45 of des servic	ild Care and De Work Opportunit the Code of Fe es to adult s and	evelopm ent Blook by Reconciliation de ral Regulation	ock Grant of Act of 1996 ons, Parts 98
Based upon the abov e Findings stated on the record, the Admini income, the Department			of Law, and for cludes t hat, du	

## 201315016/WAS

denied Claimant's application

reduced Claimant's benefits closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's  AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision S AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Villiam A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services  Date Signed: June 18, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

Date Mailed: June 19, 2013

P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## 201315016/WAS

## WAS/hj

