STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HOWAN SERVICES				
IN THE MAT	TER OF:			
		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County DHS:	201314790 4060	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully				
	HEARING DECISION	<u>N</u>		
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37; MCL 400.43 (a); Mich Admin Code, R 400.941 and MCL 24.201, et seq., upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over issuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent. After due notice, a hearing was held on				
Respondent appeared and testified along with				
	ISSUE			
Did Respondent receive an OI of ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC benefits?				
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1.	Respondent was a recipient of FIP the period , through	∏FAP ∏SDA	CDC during	
2.	Respondent received a FIP FAF period through	SDA Cl due to:	DC OI during the	
	☑ Department's error.☑ Respondent's error.			

3. \$2,208 of the OI is still due and owing to the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department:
□ properly improperly
determined that Respondent received a \$ OI of:
☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC benefits.
DHS can c ompromise (reduce or eliminate) an overiss uance if it is determined that a household's economic circumstances are such that the overiss uance cannot be paid within three years.

A request for a policy exception must be m ade from the RS to the program office outlining the facts of the situation and the client's **financial** hardship.

Send to:



However, it is not wit hin the authority of this Administra tive Law Judge to order the Department to compromise the Respondent's overissuance.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
Accordingly, the Department is $igtimes$ AFFIRMED $igcup$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☑ The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection pr ocedures in accordance with Department policy.

/s/

Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
r Maura Corrigan Director

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>04/02/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>04/02/2013</u>

NOTICE: The law provides the at within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administrative Hearings, on its own motion, or on request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

cc: