STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 IN THE MATTER OF:
 Reg. No:
 201314782

 Issue No:
 2009; 4031

Case No:

Hearing Date: March 20, 2013

Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday; March 20, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony on her be half with Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant's MA-P/SDA application on September 21, 2012 was denied on November 15, 2012 per BEM 260/ 261, with a hearing request on November 28, 2012.
- Vocational factors: Age 12th grade education, and past 15 years of semiskilled work experience in construction and green-house work, and skilled experience in machine operating work.
- 3. Claimant's last employment ended on April 10, 2012.
- 4. Claimant alleges disability due to left elbow surgery, neck and vertebra problems. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 50).
- 5. Claimant's disabling s ymptoms are pain in low back, left elbow, and left side of neck, and intermittent pain in right knee, and limited to lifting/carrying a coffee cup in left hand and one gallon in right hand.

- 6. Medical reports of exams state the claimant on:
 - June 28, 2012: Has *mild* limitation of neck range of motion; that a. range of motion of the elbows, left or right, 0-160/0-160. 90/90/90; that left elbow sk in is intact; that he has moderate tenderness over the ulner ner ve; that he had mild decreas ed sensation in the ring and small fingers at rest; that he has mild decreased interosseous strength; that he has normal se nsation throughout wrist and hands; that he has 5/5 strength in wrist function. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 24).
 - b. November 12, 2012: Has a normal gait; that muscle tone is within *normal* limits; that he has 5/5 str ength throughout the upper limbs with exception of 4+/5 in the le ft fifth digit abductions; that he has no pain to palpitation of the cervic al spine or para spinal muscles; that he has no pain or trigger points to palpit ations of the upp er back muscles; that he has *normal* shoulder and elbow range of motion in the upper limbs without pai n; and that his strength is 5/5 in the upper limbs. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 47).
 - c. September 6, 2012: Is well appearing; that he has nonantalgic gait; that his elbow is c lean, dry, and intact; that he is pain-free with active motions of the left elbow; that he has *mild* decreased sensation in ring and sm all finger; that he has a *normal* sensation throughout the rest of the hand. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 19).
 - d. September 6, 2012: His condition is *improving*. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 17).
- 7. State Hearing Review Team decis ion dated January 24, 2013 states the Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal a Social Se curity listing for the required duration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 50).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment

which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Step 1

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

The evidence of record established that the claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since April 10, 2012. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

Step 2

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Basic w ork activities. When we talk about basic wor k activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- Use of judgment;

- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medical assessments of Claimant's basic work limitations for the required duration. Stated differently, the medical reports do not establish whether the Claim ant is impaired slightly, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above.

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #5) are inconsiste nt with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6).

...Your sy mptoms, i ncluding pain, will be determined t o diminish your capacity for basic work activities...to the extent that your alleged functional limitations and restrictions due to symptoms, such as pain, can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the objective medical evidence and other evidence. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(4).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

The medic al evidence states the Claimant's medi cal exams are subs tantially normal and unrem arkable; that his impairments were mild- moderate (not severe); that his condition is improving, and not deteriorating.

The Claim ant has not sustai ned her burden of proof to es tablish a severe physical impairment, instead of a non-severe impairment, for the one year continuo us *required* duration.

201314782/WAS

Administrative law judges ha ve no authority to make decisions on constitutional grownerrule promulgated regulations or overrule or make exceptions to the department policy set out in the program manuals. Delegation of Hearin g Authority, July 13, 2011, per PA 1939, Section 9, Act 280.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 2.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable disable of person or age 65 or older. BEM Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for Stat e Disability Assistance benefits either.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Step 2 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P/SDA denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

William A. Sundquist

William A. Sundquist

Administrative Law Judge

For Maura D. Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 17, 2013

Date Mailed: April 17, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

201314782/WAS

WAS/hj

cc:

