STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-13981 1038 April 30, 2013 Oakland County DHS #4	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday , Apr il 30, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the c laimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included FIS.			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Departm ent properly $\ \square$ deny Claiman t's application $\ \boxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received benefits for:			
Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	
 On November 15, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application			
3. On November 15, 2012, the Department sent ☑ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)			

notice of the	
4. On November 16, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the	
CONCLUSIONS OF	: LAW
Department policies are contained in the Br idge Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Referenc	` ,
∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was a Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Englished Englished Program (FIP) replaced the Aid to Englished Program (FIP) was a program (FIP	on Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, nown as the Family Independence et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmenorgram] is establis hed by the Food St amp A implemented by the federal regulations contained Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly knagency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 through Rule 400.3015.	ct of 1977, as amend ed, and is a in Title 7 of the Code of Federal nown as the Family Independenc e
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tal Security Act and is im plemented by Title 42 of the The Department of Human Services (formerly kn Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to 400.105.	Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nown as the Family Independ ence
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is esta administered by the Department pursuant to MCL △	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA Services (formerly known as the Family Independence program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20400.3180.	344. The D epartment of Human dence Agency) administers the SDA
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) progrand XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Car 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work O The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Co and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to ac 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule	re and Developm ent Block Grant of pportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. Indeed, and children pursuant to MCL

Additionally, the claim ant requested a he aring on her PATH (WF) case closure on November 16, 2012. The de partment presented a hearing su mmary on the claimant's TANF Federal and State limit s reason f or FIP closure dated November 24, 2012

Date Mailed: <u>May 15, 2013</u>

	s not met its burden that it followed policy in d be closed based on PATH policy. BAM 220.	
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact a stated on the record, the Administrative La	nd Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons w Judge concludes that the Department	
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case	☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case	
for:	DA CDC.	
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon of Law, and for the reasons stated on the r ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	·	
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the re	FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision easons stated on the record.	
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION	DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF ON AND ORDER:	
Initiate a redetermination of the Claima policy.	ant's eligibility for FIP based on PATH/ WF	
Provide the Claimant with written notifi determination.	cation of the Department's revised eligibility	
3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive ben	refits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.	
Date Signed: <u>May 14, 2013</u>	/s/ Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services	

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

cc: