STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	Γŀ	łΕ	M	ΙΔ	T	ΓF	R	O	F:	
				-				_		•		

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-13894 2015; 3014 January 29, 2013 Wayne County DHS #76			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. F	ahie				
HEARING DEC	CISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, Janu Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department) ES.	t for a hearing. <i>h</i> ary 29, 2013, from e claimant <u>. Partic</u>	After due notice, a Lansing, Michigan.			
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	Adult Medical Ass State Disability As Child Developme	,			
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material f	•	ial, and substantial			
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received 	benefits for:				
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 		sistance (AMP). ssistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

2.	On November 19, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application reduce Claimant's benefits closed Claimant's case due to no eligible children in the home, which resulted in his MA closing and the removal of his ex-wife as a member of his household composition, which resulted in a decrease in his FAP benefits.
3.	On November 19, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.
4.	On November 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☒ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thre	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 bugh Rule 400.3015.
Se The Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
□ adr	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimant youngest child turned 18 years of age and graduated from high school, which made the claimant no longer eligible for MA. The claimant's MA case closed. In addition, the claimant reported that he was no longer married and that his his ex-wife no longer lived in the home. As a result, the department caseworker removed the claimant's ex-wife from his case as the claimant requested, which resulted in a decrease in FAP benefits.

The claimant has still not provided some verifications where there seem to be some confusion of what was previously provided by the claimant. As a result, the department will issue the claimant a new verification checklist for him to provide written verifications to determine continued eligibility for FAP.

The department has met their burden that MA was properly closed because the claimant no longer had an eligible child in the household and the FAP was properly decreased with the removal of the claimant's ex-wife who was no longer a household member.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed and reduced Claimant's cases ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
<u>/s/</u>
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: February 1, 2013

Date Mailed: February 1, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

