STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No: 201313665

Issue No: 3008

Case No:

Hearing Date: February 21, 2013

Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on T hursday, February 21, 2013. Claim ant appeared with her representative,

Services (Department) included AG and

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was the verification checklist notice mailed to the Claimant's correct address?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On November 15, 2012 the DHS imposed FAP termination based on noncompliance with timely verification requirements per BEM 105.
- 2. On October 31, 2012 the DHS mailed a verification checklist notic e to the Claimant's wrong address with a due-date by November 12, 2012.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

Obtaining verification: Telling the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date; See *Timeliness of Verifications* in this item. DHS-3503, Verification Checklist (BCL) or for MA redeterminations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice, to request verification. ...BEM 130, Pgs. 2 & 3.

Whether or not the claimant verbally expressed an opi nion before or after the verification due-date that she would not co mply with verific ation requirements is irrelevant until she is put on appropriate verification notice.

Therefore, the DHS has not sustained this burden of proof to establish appropriate verification notice to the claimant at her correct mailing address.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that appropriate verification notice to the Claimant was not established.

Accordingly, proposed FAP termination is **REVERSED** and so ORDERED.

William A. Súndquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

William A Fundquist

Date Signed: April 5, 2013

Date Mailed: April 5, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/hj

2013815/WAS

CC:

