STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2013-12489 Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No:

Hearing Date: February 28, 2013

Muskegon County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie for Suzanne Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, February 28, 2013. Claim ant personally appeared and testified. The department was represented by

ISSUE

Did the Department of Hum an Services (the department) properly determine that claimant was no longer disabled and deny her review application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) based upon medical improvement?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- In September 2012, claimant filed a review application for MA-P and SDA benefits alleging continued disability.
- 2. On October 22, 2012, the M edical Rev iew Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant had medical improvement for MA-P and SDA.
- On November 5, 2012, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that her Medical Assistance case would be cancelled based upon medical improvement.
- 4. On November 13, 2012, claimant f iled a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. On January 18, 2013, the State Hear ing Review Team denied c laimant's medical review application. The clai mant is alleg ing disability secondary

to panic disorder and post-traumatic stre ss disorder (ptsd). She is 48 years old and has a high school educat ion with a history of less than gainful em ployment. The medical ev idence of record indicat es that significant medical improvement has been evidence ed (20 CFR 416.994) and that the following now applies to th is claim that the claimant is not currently engaging in subst antial gainful activity based on the information available in the file. The clai mant's impairment/combination of impairments does not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security (SSA) listing.

The medic al evidenc e of record indic ates that the claimant retains capacity simple and repetitive tasks t hat avoid more than concentrated exposure to pulmonary irritants. The claimant has a history of less than gainful employment. As such, there is no past work for the claimant to perform, nor are there past work skills to transfer to other occupations Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile (48 years old, a high school education and a history of les s than gainful employment), continuing MA-P is denied per BEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claimant's impaigments would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days. Retroac tive MA-P was not considered as part of this review of continuing MA -P and SDA only benef its. Listing s 1.02, 3.03, 4.04, 5.06, 6.02, 11.03, and 12.03/04/03/09 were considered in this determination.

- 6. The claimant is a 48 year-old wo man whose birth date is . Claimant is 5' 5" tall and weighs 12 5 pounds. Claimant c ompleted the 12th grade of high school. The claimant is able to read and write and do basic math. The c laimant last worked as an inspector of automotive parts for 90 days, then she was sent to rehab in 1998.
- 7 The claim ant alleges as disablin g impairments of panic dis order and PTSD.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibili ty Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expect ed to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled.

We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

... Medical reports should include --

Medical history.

Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations); Laborat ory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays); Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behav ior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must al so be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of medically ac ceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psy chological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effe cts of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sour ces may also help us to understand how y our impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or ment al impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less t han 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiologi cal, or psyc hological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and labor atory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

...Evidence that you submit or that we obtain may contain medical opinions. Medical op inions are statements from physicians and psyc hologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of your impairment(s), includ ing your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what you can still do despite impairment(s), and your physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

...In deciding whether you are disabled, we will alway some consider the medical opinions in your case record together with the rest of the relevant evidence we receive. 20 CFR 416.927(b).

After we review all of the evi dence relevant to your claim , including medical opinions, we make findings about what the evidence shows. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

...If all of the evidence we re ceive, inclu ding a II medica I opinion(s), is consistent, and there is sufficient evidence for us to decide whether you are disabled, we will make our determination or decision based on that evidence. 20 CF R 416.927(c)(1).

...If any of the evidence in y our case record, including any medical opinion(s), is inconsistent with other evidence or is internally inconsistent, we will weigh all of the evidence and see whether we can decide whether you are disabled based on the evidence we have. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2).

[As Judge]...We are responsible for making the determination or decision ab out whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medic al findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean t hat we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...If you have an im pairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find y ou disabled wit hout considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CF R 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impai rment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacit y and your age, educ ation, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacit y is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (con) and (d) of this section.

Residual functional capacity is an assess ment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...This assessment of your remaining capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled, but is used as the basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your im pairment(s).... 20 CF R 416.945(a).

...In determining whether you are disabled, we will conside rall of your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with objective medical evidence, and other evidence... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...In evaluating the intensity and persistence of your symptoms, inc luding pain, we will cons ider all of the available evidence, including your medical history, the medical signs and laboratory findings and statements about how your symptoms affect you... We will then determine the extent to which your allege diffunctional limitations or restrictions due to pain or other symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings and other evidence to decide how your symptoms affect your ability to work.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairments of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental dem ands, sensory requirements, and other functions as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Resi dual functional capacity is an assessment based upon all of the relevant evidence. This assessment of your capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled but is used as a basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment. 20 CFR 416.945.

...When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical lim itations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing bas is. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or

crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work. 20 CFR 416.945(b).

In determining whether an indiv idual is disabled, 20 CFR 4 16.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual f unctional c apacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are ass essed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disable document and at any step in the sequentia levaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

Federal regulations r equire that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In general, claimant has the responsibility to prove that he/she is disabled. Claimant's impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically a cceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has an impairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. Information must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

Once an individual has been determined to be "disabled" for purposes of disability benefits, continued entitlement to benefits must be periodically reviewed. In evaluating whether an individual's disability continues, 20 CFR 416.994 requires the trier of fact to follow as equential evaluation process by which current work activities, severity of impairment(s), and the possibility of medical improvement and its relations hip to the individual's ability to work are assessed. Review may cease and benefits may be continued at any point if there is substantial evidence to find that the individual is unable to engage in substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5).

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(i). In this case, the claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since 1998.

Secondly, if the indiv idual has an impair ment or combination of impairments which meet or equal the sev erity of an impairment lis ted in Appendix 1 to Subpart P of Part 404 of Chapter 20, disability is found to continue. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(ii). In this case, the claimant's impair ments or combination of impairments do not meet or equal to the severity of an impair ment listed in Appendix 1. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2.

In the third step of the sequent ial evaluation, the trier of fact must determine whether there has been m edical improvement as defined in 20 CFR 41 6.994(b)(1)(i). 20 CFR 416.994 (b)(5)(iii). Medical improvem ent is defined as any decrease in the medical severity of the impairment(s) which was present at the time of the most recent favorable medical decision that the claimant was disabled or continues to be disabled. A determination that there has been a decrease in medical severity must be based on changes (improvement) in the symptoms, signs, and/or laboratory findings associated with claimant's impair ment(s). If there has been medical improvement as shown by a decrease in medical severity, the trier of fact must proceed to Step 4 (which examines whether the medical improvement is related to the claimant's ability to do work). If there has been no decrease in medical severity and thus no medical improvement, the trier of fact moves to Step 5 in the sequential evaluation process.

On August 29, 2012, the claimant underwent a psychological ex amination with an independent examiner of Dennis L. Mulder, Ed.D. The independent medical examiner determined that the claimant was in contact with reality. She was cooperative and generally pleasant, but subdued. She was frequently rubbing her left eyebrow rapidly. She described her self-esteem as low where she felt that she could not deal with society. Department Exhibit 452-457.

The claimant was oriented, alert, and nonspontaneous. Her speech was clear, coherent, and fluent. The claimant's thought processes were relevant, logica I, and connected. She stated that she was forgetfu I. The claimant stated that she hear d noises and the voices of demons. She has paranoid thoughts of demons and she has had persecutory thoughts in the past. The clai mant feels hopeless and worthless. She had thoughts of suicide in the past, but not currently. Department Exhibit 452-457.

Her affect was somewhat depressed. She complained of a hist ory of depr ession and panic attacks. The claimant has a fear of demons. When she gets angry, she throws things with no violence towards people. She is also withdrawn. The claimant was fully oriented to time, person, and place. She denied the use of alcohol, but she does have a history of drug abus e, with oc casional m arijuana use currently. The claimant was diagnosed with panic disorder, without agoraphobia with bipolar disorder II and a history of drug abuse in partial remission. She had GAF of 55 to 60. Department Exhibit 452-457.

The independent psychiatric examiner stated that the claimant's prognosis for becoming gainfully employed in a simple, unskilled, work situation on a sustained and competitive

basis is guarded to fa ir pending her compliance with a psychia tric treatment, including the use of medication. The claimant appeared to have no difficulty understanding, remembering, and following through with simple instructions. There appears to be no restrictions to her ability to perform simple, repetitive, and concrete tasks. The claimant is able to manage her own funds. Department Exhibit 452-457.

On August 29, 2012, the i independent ps ychiatric examiner is completed the Mental Residual F unctional Capacity Assessment, DHS-49E. The claimant was moderately limited in understanding and miemory with her ability to understand and remember detailed instructions. She was also moder ately limited under sustained concentration and persistence in heir ability to carry out detailed instructions, to work in coordination with or proximity to others without being distracted by them, and to complete a normal workday and worksheet without interruptions from psychologically based symptoms and to perform at a consistent pace without an unreasonable number and length of rest periods. The claimant was moderately limited in her ability to interact appropriately with the general public and to accept instruct ions and respond approximately to criticism from supervisors. Department Exhibit 450-451.

At Step 3, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant does have medica I improvement and her medical improvement is related to the claimant's ability to perform substantial gainful activity. The claimant was only moderately limit ed in 6 areas out of 20. Her GAF was 55 to 60, which shows moderate symptoms or moderate difficulty in social, occupational, or school functioning. The claimant did not have any markedly limitations, just moderate limitations. The claimant was able to perform simple, unskilled, repetitive work with moderate limitations. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In Step 4 of the sequential ev aluation, the trier of fa ct must determine whethe r medical improvement is relat ed to claimant 's ability to do work in accordance with 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(i) through (b)(1)(iv). 20 CF R 416.994(b)(5)(iv). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, after careful review of the record, that there has been medical im provement where she can perform her past work. The claimant was las t employed as an inspector of automotive parts for 90 days in 1998.

At Step 4, the claimant does perform her daily living activities, which is not supported by the independent medical ex amination. The claimant testif ied that she did have mental impairments of panic disorder and ptsd, wher e she was taking medications, but not in therapy. The claimant does not smoke or drink alcohol. She stopped us ing illegal or illicit drugs of crack cocaine in 2009.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical improvement is related to her ability to do work. At Step 4, the claimant has previously been employed at the simple, unskilled, light level. The claimant is taking medication, but not in therapy for her mental impairments. The claimant completed the 12 th grade of high school. The claimant should be able to perform simple, unskilled work. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4 where the claim and can perform work. If

there is a finding of medical improvement related to claimant's ability to perform work, the trier of fact is to move to Step 6 in the sequential evaluation process.

In the sixth step of the sequent ial evaluation, the trier of fact is to determine whether the claimant's current impairment(s) is severe per 20 CFR 416.921. 20 CF R 416.994(b)(5)(vi). If the residual functional capacity assessment reveals significant limitations upon a claimant 's ability to engage in basic work activities, the trier of fact moves to Step 7 in the sequent ial evaluation process. In this case, this Administrativ e Law Judge finds claimant can perform at least simple, unskilled work even with her impairments. See St eps 3 and 4. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 6 where the claimant passes for severity.

In the seventh step of the sequential evaluation, the trier of fact is to assess a claimant's current ability to engage in sub—stantial gainful activities in accompliance with 20 CF R 416.960 through 416.969. 20 CF R 416.994(b)(5)(vii). The trier of fact is to assess the claimant's current residua. I functional capacity based on—all current impairments and consider whether the claimant can still do work he/she has don—e in the past. In this case, this Administrative Law J—udge finds—that claimant should be able to perform simple, unskilled work. Her past work was—unskilled and simple as an inspector of automotive parts for 90 days in—1998. The claimant is capable of performing past, relevant work. See Steps 3 and 4. T—herefore, the claimant is dis—qualified from receiving disability at Step—7 where the claimant is capable of performing her past, relevant work.

In the final step, Step 8, of the sequential evaluation, the trie r of fact is to consider whether the claimant can do any other work, given the claimant's residual function age, education, and pas two rk experience. 20 CFR capacity and claimant's 416.994(b)(5)(viii). In this case, based upon t he claimant's vocati onal profile of a younger individual, with a 12 th grade high school edu cation, and a history of simple, unskilled work, MA-P is denied using Voca tional Rule 204.00 as a guide. Th Administrative Law Judge finds that claim ant does have medical improvement in this case and the department has established by the ne cessary, competent, material and substantial evidence on the rec ord that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it proposed to cancel cl aimant's MA-P benefits based upon medica I improvement.

The department's Program Eligib ility Manual provides the following policy s tatements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the SDA program.

DISABILITY - SDA

DEPARTMENT POLICY

SDA

To receive SDA, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person, or age 65 or older.

Note: There is <u>no</u> disability requirement for AMP. PEM 261, p. 1.

DISABILITY

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, or
- . resides in a qualified S pecial Living Arrangement facility, or
- is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability f or at least 90 d ays from the onset of the disability.
- . is diagnos ed as hav ing Ac quired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

If the client's circumstances change so t hat the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability crit eria. Do NO T simply initiate case closure. PEM, Item 261, p. 1.

Other Benefits or Services

Persons receiving one of the following be nefits or services meet the SDA disability criteria:

- Retirement, Survivors and Disa bility Insurance (RSDI), due to disability or blindness.
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI), due to disability or blindness.
- Medicaid (including spend-down) as blind or disabled if the disability/blindness is based on:
 - .. a DE/MRT/SRT determination, or
 - .. a hearing decision, or
 - .. having SSI based on blindn ess or disability recently terminated (within the past 12 months) for financial reasons.

Medicaid received by former SSI recipients based on policies in PEM 150 under "SSI TERMINATIONS," INCLUDING "MA While Appealing Disabilit y Termination," does not qualify a person as disable d for SDA. Such persons must be certified as disabled or meet one of the other SDA qualifying criteria. See "Medical Certification of Disability" below.

- Michigan Rehabilitation Serv ices (MRS). A person is receiving services if he has been determined eligible for MRS and has an active MRS case. Do not refer or advise applicants to apply for MRS for the purpose of qualifying for SDA.
- Special education ser vices from the local intermediate school district. To qualify, the person may be:
 - attending s chool under a spec ial education plan approved by the local I ndividual Educ ational Planning Committee (IEPC); or
 - not attending under an I EPC approved plan but has been certified as a special education student and is attending a sc hool program leading to a high sc hool diploma or its equivalent, and is under age 26. The pr ogram does not have to be designated as "special education " as long as the person has been certified as a special education student. Elig ibility on this bas is continue s until the person completes the high s chool program or reaches age 26, whichever is earlier.
- Refugee or asylee who lost eligibility for Social Security Income (SSI) due to exceeding the maximum time limit PEM, Item 261, pp. 1-2.

Because the claimant does not meet the definition of continued disability under the MA-P program and because the evidence on record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for continued State Disability Assistance benefits either.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it

was acting in compliance with department po licy when it denied claimant's continued disability for MA-P and SDA. The claimant should be able to per form simple, unskilled, work. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence. The claimant does have medical improvement based upon the objective medical findings in the file.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Carmen

G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 13, 2013

Date Mailed: June 14, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/hj



