STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201312476 2013 April 10, 2013 Ingham
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne	E. Harris	
HEARING D	DECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Adminional MCL 400.37 following Claimant's required telephone hearing was held on April 10, 2015 behalf of Claimant included and on behalf of Department of Human Services, Assistance Payments Supersigned.	uest for a hearing. 3, from Lansing, Michi her daughter (Department) include	After due notice, a gan. Participants on Participants
<u>ISSI</u>	<u>JE</u>	
Did the Department properly \square deny Claim for:	ant's application ⊠ c	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as mater	•	erial, and substantial
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receiv	ed benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. On December 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ c	losed Claimant's case	

due to excess income.

3.	On October 26, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On November 7, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thre	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se The Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.
and 199 The and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

201312476/SEH

The Department testified that the Claimant's MA Medicare Savings Program closed because she had been receiving the cost of living allowance exclusion in error in her MA budget. The Department testified that Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 165 (2010) p. 6, which was in effect at the time the Department took action, indicates that the cost of living allowance exclusion can only be given in the months of January, February and March and that the Claimant had received it up until December of 2012 in error. BEM 165, p. 6 reads as follows:

Enter countable RSDI for the month being tested. When the month being tested is January, February or March Bridges will automatically:

Computes and deducts the RSDI cost-of-living increase for fiscal group members, and

Uses the limits for the preceding December.

When asked specifically where it says that the Claimant can not receive the cost of living allowance exclusion but for the first three months of the year, the Department worker present at the hearing said it was implied in the policy. The Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department failed to meet their burden of establishing that it acted in accordance with its policy when taking action to close the Claimant's MA case for excess income by disallowing the cost of living allowance exclusion as the policy simply does not say that such exclusion is granted only in the first three months of the year.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for AMP if FIP if FAP improperly closed Claimant's case for improperly closed Claimant's case impro
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate action to redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for MA Medicare Savings Program back to the closure date, and

2. Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplements she may thereafter be due.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/11/13

Date Mailed: 4/12/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

CC:

