# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:

	1
	h

Reg. No.: 2013-12144

Issue No.: 3003; 2013; 1017 Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 29, 2013

County: Wayne County DHS #76

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, Januar y 29, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

# **ISSUE**

Due to excess income, did the Department properly ⊠ deny the Claimant's applic atio ☐ close Claimant's case ⊠ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul>			
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
I. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits for:	received benefits for:			
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li> <li>State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>			
2. On November 13, 2012, the Department ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced due to excess income.				

3.	On November 13, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.				
4.	On November 19, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hear ing reques t, protesting the				
	$\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case. $\boxtimes$ reduction of benefits.				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	partment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .				
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective tober 1, 1996.				
pr im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.				
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.				
fo as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.				
ar 19 Th ar	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.				

Additionally, the claim ant applied for FIP, FAP and M A benefits. She cont ested the amount and calculation of her FAP and MA benefits and the denial of her FIP

application. Based on the information provi ded, it seemed like the claimant did not receive her RSDI payment in November 2012 and December 2012 and her son did not get his SSI payment in De cember 2012, but did get a payment in January 2013, February 2013, but will not get a payment in March 2013. The FAP budget for the contested time period was not provided. The department needs to redetermine eligibility for November 2012, December 2012, and January 2013 with another prospective budget for March 2013 because of the changes in income for MA, FIP, and FAP.

The department has not met their hurden that they followed in noticy in determining the

claimant's eligibility for benefits.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department properly improperly
<ul><li>☑ denied Claimant's application</li><li>☑ reduced Claimant's benefits</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li></ul>
for: $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$\boxtimes$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FAP, FIP, and MA based on her application.
2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.
le l

Date Signed: February 11, 2013

Date Mailed: February 12, 2013

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Carmen G. Fahie

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CGF/hj

