### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

ANGEL MORRIS 42426 15<sup>TH</sup> AVE., APT. 1 BLOOMINGDALE, MI 49026 Reg. No.:2Issue No.:2Case No.:2Hearing Date:ACounty:V

2013-12008 2003; 4003

April 4, 2013 Van Buren County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, Ap ril 4, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant in cluded the claimant and her authorized representative and mother, **Exercise**. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included **Exercise** GSPM and **ES**.

### **ISSUE**

Did the Departm ent properly 🗌 deny Claiman t's application 🔀 close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?
☑ Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant i applied for benefits i received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

- On September 18, 2012, the Department

   denied Claimant's application
   closed Claimant's case
   due to the claimant no longer participating with the Michigan Rehabilitation Services
   (MRS) for SDA and turning 21 for MA.
- On September 18, 2012, the Department sent
   ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
   ☐ of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
- 4. On November 7, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (A	.MP) is est	ablished by 42 USC	1315, and is
administered by the Department p	oursuant to MCL	400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimant applied for MA and SDA on March 14, 2012. She was approved for under 21 MA correctly. However, the claimant was no longer participating with MRS, which made her inel igible to receive SDA b ecause she was not found to be disabled by MRT. The claimant's under 21 MA closed correctly when she turned 21. The claimant is eligible to reapply for MA and SDA disability if the she feels that she is disabled.

Therefore, the department has met its burden that it correctly closed the claimant's SDA because she was not eligible because she wa s no longer participa ting with MRS and had turned 21 years of age, which made her not eligible for under 21 MA.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly closed Claimant's case

properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\boxtimes$  did act properly. did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director **Department of Human Services** 

Date Signed: April 12, 2013

Date Mailed: April 12, 2013

### 201312008/CGF

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CGF/hj

