STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-11951 2003 May 15, 2013 Macomb #20	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, Ma Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the Department of Human Services (Department) in ES.	it for a hearing. After y 15, 2013, from L ne c laim <u>ant. Partici</u>	r due notice, a ∟ansing, Michigan.	
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Departm ent properly $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	Adult Medical Ass State Disability As Child Developme	,	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	al, and substantial	
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received	benefits for:		
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☒ Medical Assistance (MA). 		sistance (AMP). ssistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	
 On October 31, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application	sed Claimant's case		

3. On October 31, 2012, the Department sent

 On November 13, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Persona Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independe not Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
\square The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ia Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA proogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC I 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimant was a recipient of Group 2 MA Caretaker Relative benefits. The claimant's youngest son turned 18 year sof age on October 7, 2012, which made

Date Mailed: May 23, 2013

her ineligible to continue re ceiving benefit s. Department Ex hibit 1. The claimant' s youngest son had already gra duated from high sc hool in J une 2012, but due to department error the claimant's MA benefits continued. Therefore, the department has met its burden that the claimant is no longer eligible for Group 2 MA Caretaker Relative benefits because she does not have any eligible children. BEM 135 and 211.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
<u>/s/</u>		
Carmen G. Fahie		
Administrative Law Judge		
For Maura Corrigan, Director		
Department of Human Services		
Date Signed: May 23, 2013		

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

