## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.:	2013-11508 2026;2014
	Hearing Date: County:	May 14, 2013 Macomb #20
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fa	ahie	
HEARING DEC	<u>ISION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ ra and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request telephone hearing was held on Tuesday , May Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the Department of Human Services (Department) inc	for a hearing. After v 14, 2013 from Lar claim <u>ant. Participa</u>	r due notice, a nsing, Michigan.
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department prope ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's be		aimant's applic ation
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	Adult Medical Ass State Disability As Child Developme	,
FINDINGS OF I	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa	competent, materia act:	al, and substantial
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ re	eceived benefits for	r:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		sistance (AMP). assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
<ol> <li>On October 30, 2012, the Department ☐ d☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Clair due to excess income.</li> </ol>	enied Claimant's a mant's benefits	pplication

3. On October 30, 2012, the Department sent

☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)

	notice of the $\square$ denial. $\square$ closure. $\boxtimes$ reduction.
4.	On November 13, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR file d a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective etober 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and 199 Th	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.
	ditionally, the claimant was a recepient of Social Security (SS) RSDI be nefits in the nount of \$ per month in unearned income. Department 1-3.

2

excess income for MA AD-Care, where the income limit was \$ but the claimant had a net income of \$ which resulted in her being denied for MA AD-Care.
As a result of her excess inco me for MA AD-Care, the claimant was determined eligible for a MA Spenddown/Deductible case. The claimant had RSDI income from Social Security of After deductions of a unearned income general exclusion and a protected income of the claimant had a deductible of that she must meet before being eligible for MA. Department Exhibit 5.
The department has met its burden that the clai mant is eligible for MA with a deductible of \$531 that she must meet before being eligible for MA. The claimant is still eligible for MA Savings Program(MSP) where the State of Michigan is still paying her Medicare Part B premium. The department has met its burden that the claimant had excess income for MA AD-Care resulting in a deductible of \$531 and continues to be eligible for MSP.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department properly improperly
<ul><li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li></ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  ☐ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/ <u>s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services  Date Signed: May 23, 2013
Date Mailed: May 23, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/hj

