STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MAT	TER OF:	Reg. No: Issue No:	201311325 2006	
		Case No: Hearing Date: Lapeer County I	May 8, 2013 DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A Sundquist				
HEARING DECISION				
and MCL 400 telephone he included	s before the undersigned Administ rativ 0.37 following Claim ant's request for earing was held on , from , Michigan of Advomas. Participant epartment) included	r a hearing. After on Partic ipants on	due notice, a behalf of Claimant	
	ISSUE			
		requirements, did laimant's case	the Department reduce Claimant's	
Food Ass		State Disability Ass Child Development	sistance (SDA)? and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon — the competent, material, and substantia—I evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ was rece ☐CDC.	iving:	FAP ⊠MA □SDA	
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provid (DHS-3503)	led with a Verificati	ion Checklis t	
3.	Claimant was required to s August 19, 2012.	bmit requested ve	rification by	

	4.	On August 28, 2012, the Depart ment \boxtimes denied Claimant's application \square closed Claimant's case \square reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.		
	5.	On August 28, 2012 the Depa rtment sent notice of the Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. closure of Claimant's case. closure of Claimant's case.		
	6.	On October 12, 2012 Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
•		policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Response 42 US Agend 3131.	onsibilit SC 601, cy) adm	ly Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence iinisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective 396.		
progra impler Regul Agend	am] is e mented ations (Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) stablis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence inisters FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R		
Secur The D	ity Act epartm	cal Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). ent (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.		
for dis	abled p F amil	Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known y Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M $$ CL $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ CL $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$		
and X 1990, The p and 9	X of the and the rogram 9. The	Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE to Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 to Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL d 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.		

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law $\;$
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
Reinstate and process Claimant's MA-P application.
/s/ William A Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: May 15, 2013
Date Mailed: May 15, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/hj

