STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-11151 2006; 4003 March 28, 2013 St. Clair County DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fal	nie		
HEARING DECIS	SION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, Marc h 28, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the c laimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Fig. 8.			
ISSUE			
Due to a failure to comply with the ve properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application □ close Claimant's case □ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	State Disability As Child Developme State Emergency	nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:			
Cla imant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐ SER.	FIP FAP MA	A ⊠SDA □CDC □	
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Notice (DHS-800).	Medi cal Appoin	tment Confirmation	

3. Claimant was required to attend the medical appointment by August 28, 2012.

4.	On August 29, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On August 29, 2012, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On October 31, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence plency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 20 04 PA 344. The Depar tment (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fe deral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is estable ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).		
Additionally, the claimant failed to attend her medica I appointment to determine eligibility by the due date, which resulted in her/his case being denied/closed. The claimant is entitled to re-apply for benefits.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \square properly \square improperly		
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly. \square did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Depar $$ tment's decision is $$ $$ AFFIRMED $$ $$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
<u>/s/</u>		
Carmen G. Fahie		
Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director		
Department of Human Services Date Signed: April 5, 2013		
Date Mailed: April 5, 2013		

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

CC:

