STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-10965 Issue No.: 2026;2014 Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

March 28, 2013 Marquette County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, Ma rch 28, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation Close Claimant's case R reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits for: received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).
- denied Claimant's application 2. On October 25, 2012, the Department closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.

On October 25, 2012, the Department sent
□ Claimant
□ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
□ notice of the
□ denial.
□ closure.
□ reduction.

4. On November 5, 2012, Claima	ant or Claimant's AH	R filed	a hearing reques	t,
protesting the				
denial of the application.	closure of the case.	🛛 red	uction of benefits.	

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is establis hed by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claim ant's was a recepient of Social Security RSDI benefits of \$ and earned income of \$ Department Exhibit a-b.

The department caseworker determined eligib ility for MA where the claimant ha d excess income for MA AD-Care, where the income limit was \$ but the claimant had a net income of \$ which resulted in her being denie d for MA AD-Care. Department Exhibit c.

As a result of her excess income for MA AD-Care, the claimant was determined eligible for a MA Spenddown/Deductible case. The claimant had unearned income of \$ and After deduction s of a \$ for unearned inc ome general earned inc ome of \$ exclusion an a \$ plus 1/2 earned inc ome disregard of \$ The claimant had a net from net unearned income of \$ income of \$ and net earned inc ome of \$ For a group size of 1, with a protected income of \$ resulting in a deductible of \$ that she must meet before being eligible for MA for the month of September 2012. The claimant gualified for deductible for Oct ober 1, 2012 forward of \$ Department Exhibit 1-2.

The department has met its burden that the clai mant is eligible for MA with a deductible of **\$** for September 2012 and **\$** from Oct ober 2012 forward that she must meet before being eligible for MA. The department has met its burden that the claimant had excess income for MA AD-Care.

Based upon the abov e Find	lings of Fact and	Conclus	ions of Law,	and for the r	reasons
stated on the record, the Ad	ministrative La	w Judge	concludes t	hat, due to	excess
income, the Department	🛛 properly	improp	perly		

denied Claimant's application Reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idid act properly idid not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 10, 2013

Date Mailed: April 10, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

