## STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Issue No: Case No: Hearing Date:

Reg. No:

March 19, 2013

201310546

2009; 4031

Wayne County DHS #18

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday; Ma rch 19, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony on her behalf with and and provided testimony on her behalf with the services (Department) included.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant's MA-P/SDA application on August 10, 2012 was denied on October 31, 2012 per BEM 260/261, with a hearing request on November 8, 2012.
- 2. Vocational factors: Age 33, 8th grade education, and past 1 5 years of unskilled work experience as a shelf stocker and truck unloader in a retail store operation, and has been currently working for Burger King as a food preparer since 2006 earning \$440 a month.
- 3. Claimant alleges disability due to cellulitis, depression, anxiety, and panic attacks. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 112).
- Claimant's disabling symptoms are chronic anxiety only.
- 5. Medical reports of exams state the claimant on:

- a. November 9, 2011: Has a GAF sco re of 50; that her judgment is *intact*; that she has a logical and coherent thought process; that she has fair insight, *average* intelligence, and no obsessive or compulsive thoughts. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 93).
- b. July 18, 2012: Has *intact* judgment, *normal* speech, logical and coherent thought process, good insight, and *average* intelligence. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 94).
- 7. State Hearing Review Team decis ion dated January 14, 2013 states the Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal a Social Se curity listing for the required duration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 111).

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridge es Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

## "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

#### 201310546/WAS

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the client's s ymptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

(1) The nature and limiting effe cts of your impairment(s) for any period in question;

- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

# Step 1

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

The evidence of rec ord established that the claimant has been engaged currently in non-substantial gainful activity since 2006. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

# Step 2

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medical assessments of Claimant's basic work limitations for the required duration. Stated differently, the medical reports do not establish whether the Claim ant is impaired slightly, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above.

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #5) are inconsistent with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6).

...Your sy mptoms, i ncluding pain, will be determined t o diminish your capacity for basic work activities...to the extent that your alleged functional limitations and restrictions due to symptoms, such as pain, can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the objective medical evidence and other evidence. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(4).

The objective medical evidence of record establishes Claimant's GAF score of 50 in November, 2011 and July, 2012. These sco res are consider ed a severe mental impairment with occupational functioning. DSM IV (4<sup>th</sup> edition-revised).

The medic all evidence of record does not establish the Claimant's abnormal mental findings on repeated examinations for a reas onable presumption to be made that a severe mental impair ment has lasted or is expected to last for at least one continuous year.

The Claimant has not sustained his burden of proof to establish a sev ere physical impairment, instead of a non-severe impairment, for the one year continuo us *required duration*.

Administrative law judges ha ve no authority to make decisions on constitutional groverrule promulgated regulations or overrule or make exceptions to the department policy set out in the program manuals. Delegation of Hearing Authority, July 13, 2011, per PA 1939, Section 9, Act 280.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 2.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable disabled.

#### 201310546/WAS

person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability cr iteria for State Disab ility Assistance benefits either.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Step 2 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

William A. Sundquist

Administrative Law Judge
For Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 17, 2013

Date Mailed: April 17, 2013

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

# WAS/hj

