### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg.No. Issue No.

2013 9497 1038,

Case No. Hearing Date:

January 14, 2013

Wayne County DHS (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 14, 2013. The Claimant appeared and testified. FIM, appeared on behalf of the Department.

## ISSUE

Whether the Department correctly sanc tioned and closed the Claimant's cash assistance (FIP) for non-compliance with work related activities without good cause.

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant was an ongoing participant of the Work First program and was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- 2. The Department sent the Claim ant a Notice of Non-Complianc e on 10/15/12 indicating that the Claimant did not m eet her participation requirements on 10/15/12.
- 3. The Notice of Non-Compliance scheduled a triage for 10/23/12.
- 4. The Claimant did attend the triage. At the triage the Department found no good cause for the Claimant's failure to stay at the Work First program until 1:30 pm for a meeting. The Claimant's work participation hours were until 11:00 am than day.

- 5. The Claimant left at 1:30 pm to pick her daughter up from school.
- 6. At the hearing the Clai mant produced an externship time sheet advising her Work First supervisor of her 20 hours participation. Claimant Exhibit 1
- 7. On 10/15/12 the Department cl osed the Claimant's FIP case effective 11/1/12 and imposed a 6-month sanction for non-compliance with Work First participation without good cause. Exhibit 1
- 8. No Depart ment representative or Work First representative that attended the triage attended the hearing.
- 9. The Claimant requested a hearing on 10 /30/12 protesting the closure of her FIP cash assistance case.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Family Independence Program ("FIP") was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 60 1, et seq. The Department of Human Services ("D HS" or "Department"), formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq and Michigan Administrative Code Rules 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

DHS requires clients to participat e in employ ment and self-sufficiency related activities and to ac cept employment when offered. BEM 23 3A All Work E ligible Individuals ("WEI") as a condition of e ligibility must engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. BEM 233A The WEI is considered non-compliant for failing or refusing to appear and participate with the Jobs, Education, and Training Program ("JET") or other employment service provider. BEM 233A Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A Failure to comply without good cause results in FIP closure. BEM 233A The first and second occurrences of non-compliance r esults in a 3 month FIP closure. BEM 233A The third occurrence results in a 12 month sanction.

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss non-compliance and good cause. BEM 233A In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a notice of non-compliance, DH S-2444, which must include the date(s) of the non-compliance; the reason the client was determined to be non-compliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A In addit ion, a triage must be held within the negative action period. BEM 233A A good cause determination is made during the triage and prior to

the negative action effective date. BEM 233A. However, a failure to participate can be overcome if the client has good cause. Good cause is a valid reason for failing to participate with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the Claimant. BEM 233A. The penalty for non-compliance is FIP closure. However, a fail ture to participate can be overcome if the client has good cause. Good cause is a valid reason for failing to participate with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the Claimant. BEM 233A. The penalty for non-compliance is FIP closure. BEM 233a provides direction to the Department as follows when determining good cause:

Determine good caus e based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or the work participation program.

In this case, the Claimant attended the Wo rk First program and left later than her assigned time after waiting to for 1.5 hours to meet with Work First program personnel. The Claimant credibly testified that her work participation hours were from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and that she left to pick up he r daughter from school when the program personnel left without meeting with her at 1:30 pm. On the basis of this testimony it is found that there was no basis for the sanction that was imposed as the Claimant left the program after waiting for her meeting and beyond the time when her participation hours had ended. The Claimant's testimony was very clear and credible.

No one from the Work First program or Department who attended the triage attended the hearing and thus Claimant's credible testimony was unrebutted.

After reviewing the documents submitted at the hearing and the testimony of the parties provided under oath, it is determined that the Depar tment did not meet its burden of proof to demonstrate that it correctly determined that the Claimant failed in her Wor k First participation requirements or failed to demonstrate good cause.

The evidence presented demonstrated that the Department held a triage and that at the triage the Department determined that the Claimant had failed to meet participation requirements and thus was in non-complianc e the basis for its findings were not apparent given the Claimant's un rebutted testimony. The Department did not demonstrate that it followed Department policy regarding finding of good cause at the triage and thus, the Department incorrectly found no good cause and instituted closure of the Claimant's FIP case.

Based of the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and the testimony of witnesses and the documentary evidence received, the Department has not demonstrated that it correctly followed and applied Departm ent policy in closing and

sanctioning the Claimant's FIP case fo r non-compliance without good cause and imposing a 6-month sanction. BEM 233A.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds t hat the Departm ent incorrectly closed the Cla imant's cash assistance FIP case, and improperly imposed a 6-month sancti on closing the Claimant's case for non-compliance with work-related activities for non-participation with the Work First program. Accordingly, the Department's determination is REVERSED.

## Accordingly it is ordered:

- 1. The Department shall initiate reinstatement of the Claimant's FIP case retroactive to the date of closure (11/1/12).
- 2. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for any FIP benefits she was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall remove from its records the 6-month sanction it imposed on the Claimant as a result of a triage conducted on 10/23/12.

Lynn M. Ferris`

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 23, 2013

Date Mailed: January 23, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### LMF/cl

