STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

		ΓFR	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-9477 2021 May 30, 2013 Wayne (82)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	er						
HEARING DE	CISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 30, 2013, at Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included her attorney, John Payne. The Claimant did not appear. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Worker, Assistance Payments Supervisor, Family Independence Manager and Eligibility Specialist.							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Did the Department properly $oxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $oxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:							
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF	F FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
 Claimant							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☒ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).					
evidence on the whole record, finds as material 1. Claimant applied for benefits received Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).	fact: d benefits for: Adult Medical As State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).					

2.	On September 7, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to excess assets.
3.	On September 7, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On November 1, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
inc	ditionally, at the hearing the Department admitted that the Claimant's application was correctly processed and should be reinstated. The Claimant was satisfied with the partment's decision.
De cas pro	dges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the partment to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect clients rights. In this se, it is found and concluded that the Department failed to determine eligibility and stect client rights, when it denied Claimant's application. Department of Human rvices Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (2013).
	cordingly, the Department shall be reversed and the Claimant's application shall be nstated and processed in accordance with Department policy and procedure.
	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
	properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate and process Claimant's August 27, 2012 Medicaid application.
- 2. Provide retroactive and ongoing benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which she is entitled.
- 3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 10, 2013

Date Mailed: June 12, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

