STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 20139079 Issue No.: 2018,4003

Case No.: Hearing Date:

December 13, 2012

Wayne (31) County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 13, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Jet FIS Worker. **ISSUE** Did the Departm ent properly deny Claiman t's application close Claimant's case for: Family Independence Program (FIP)? Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? FINDINGS OF FACT The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

Family Independence Program (FIP).	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
Food Assistance Program (FAP).	State Disability Assistance (SDA).
Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On 8/1/12, the Department	
	☑ denied Claimant's application	closed Claimant's case
	due to AMP program being closed.	

1. Cla imant
☐ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:

3. On 8/31/12, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure.
4. On 9/7/12, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
\square The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ia Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department could not locate the Claimant's file for the hearing and thus could not determine whether the Claiman t's applicat ion sought SDA and Medica I Assistance on the basis of dis ability. Be cause the Department could not produce the application and the Claimant credibly testified that she did chec k the box f or disability and SDA, it is deterimined t hat the Department's denial of the application because the AMP (adult medical program) was correct in part, but incorrect because the Department was also clearly required to process the application for SDA and Medical Assistance for disability. Based u pon the e vidence presented it appears t hat the Department improperly denied the application without processing it to determine the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assist ance based upon disability and for SDA and it did not meet its burden of proof.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the restated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Departmen			
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case 			
for:			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \boxtimes SDA \square CDC do is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	ecision		
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DA THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	YS OF		

1. The Department shall reregister the Claimant's 8/6/12 application and re-process the application to determine the Claimaint's el igiblity for SDA and Medical As sistance

based upon disability as of the date of the application.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 2, 2013

Date Mailed: January 2, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

