STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

		ı
		Ī

Reg. No.: 2013-907 Issue No.: 2006

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 2, 2013 County: Wayne (82-31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 3, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly $oxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $oxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? 				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:					
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☒ Medical Assistance (MA). 	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 				

	On September 26, 2012, the Department ightharpoonup denied Claimant's MA application ightharpoonup closed Claimant's case due to failure to provide verifications.					
	On September 11, 2012, the Department ightharpoonup display="3"> ightharpoonup display="3"> ightharpoonup display="3"> ightharpoonup display="3"> ightharpoonup display="3" i					
	On September 17, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the Contact Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)					
	On September 25, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.					
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
Res 42 Age	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 pugh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) programe ective October 1, 1996.					
prog imp Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS] gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is lemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federa gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 1.3001 through Rule 400.3015.					
Sec The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) is Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.					
□ adn	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ninistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.					
for	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Humar vices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA					

program pursuant to MCL 400.10, $\it et\ seq.$, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.					
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.					
Additionally, Claimant testified that she was given one extension of 10 days to complete her MA verifications					
The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help.					
If neither the client nor you can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If no evidence is available, use your best judgment. BAM 130, p. 3 (October 1, 2012).					
Allow the client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verification you request. Refer to policy in this item for citizenship verifications. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, extend the time limit up to three times. BAM 130, p. 5 (October 1, 2012).					
Here, Claimant was in contact with the Department and relayed the problems getting the documentation asked for by the Department. The Department gave Claimant one extension to complete the MA documentation and no extension to complete the SDA application.					
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 					
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.					

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \boxtimes SDA \square CDC deci is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	sion
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	OF

 Initiate re-registration and processing of Claimant's MA and SDA applications, back to the date of application, and replace any missed benefits if Claimant qualifies for same.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 11, 2013

Date Mailed: March 11, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2013-907/MJB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

