STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2013 8832
Issue No.:	3003
Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	December 17, 2012 Wayne (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 17, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation close Claimant's case X reduce Claimant's benefits for:

L	
\geq	\langle

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant 🗌 a

applied for benefits for: X received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On December 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application
 Closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits
 due to excess income.
- On October 23, 2012, the Department sent
 □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the □ denial. □ closure. □ Reduction.
- 4. On October 30, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the

denial of the application.	closure of the case.	\boxtimes reduction of benefits.
----------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------------

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Ch	ild Devel	opment and	I Care (CDC	C) program is	establis he	d by Titles	IVA, IVE
and XX of	the Soc	ial Security	Act, the Ch	ild Care and	d Developm	ent Block	Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, in this case the Department recalculated the Claim ant's Food Assistance spouse's earnings had increased. Th e Department used the pay period for Augu st 2012 t o recalculate the benefits and included 5 pay amounts as th e Claimant's spouse is paid weekly. After a thorough review of the gross income calculation, it is determined that the Department did not properly c alculate the FAP gr oss income. T he Claimant's pay amounts for Augus t 2012 were as follows : \$375.25, \$377.63, \$494. 00, \$380.00, \$356.25. Exhibit 1. The Department based upon these pa y amounts determined monthly gross income to be \$1311.

The Department should not have included the pay amount of \$494 because the amount is unusual and is higher than the average pay. Policy found in BEM 505 addresses this circumstance as follows:

Discard a pay from the past 30 days if it is unusual and does not reflect the normal, expected pay amounts. Document which pay is being discarded and why. For example, the client worked ov ertime for one week and it is not expected to recur. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 505, pp 4 (10/2010).

The proper gross monthly income amount should be the sum of the above pay amounts without the unusual pay div ided by the number of pay che cks multiplied by 4.3. BEM 505 pp 6-7. The correct monthly gross income is \$1,600. The gross monthly income is calculated as follows: ($$375.25 + $377.63 + $380.00 + $356.25 = $1489.13 \div 4 = $372 X 4.3 = $1,600$).

As the am ount of the monthly gross income as calculated above is significantly higher than previously calculated by the Department the Claimant should be aware that the FAP benefits will likely be reduced due to this new gross monthly income amount.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La income, the Department properly w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess

denied Claimant's application

reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idid act properly idid not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall initiate rec alculation of the Claimant's FAP benefits and shall determine the correct FAP benefit amount in accordance with Department policy.

Lvnn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 21, 2012

Date Mailed: December 21, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request

consideration/Rehearing Request
 P. O. Box 30639
 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

