# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

LATYRA REED Reg. No.: 2013-8307
18037 ALBANY ST Issue No.: 1000

DETROIT MI 48234 Case No.: Hearing Date: January 9, 2013
County: Wayne (43)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Jan Leventer

## SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 9, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Specialist.

# **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li></ul>	<ul><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li><li>☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?</li></ul>

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On an unknown date, the Department:
	<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
	⊠ FIP  □ FAP  □ MA  □ AMP  □ SDA  □ CDC  □ SER.
2.	On an unknown date, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	<ul><li>☐ denial</li><li>☒ closure</li><li>☐ reduction.</li></ul>
3.	On October 29, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligib	rtment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges illity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Resp 42 Us Agen through	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal onsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tive October 1, 1996.
programple imple Regu Agen	he Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secu The	ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.

☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits, re-enroll her in the Work First program, and provide FIP benefits to her.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

### THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits.
- 2. Initiate procedures to re-enroll her in the Work First program.

- 3. Initiate procedures to provide retroactive and ongoing FIP benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which she is entitled.
- 4. Initiate procedures to delete all sanctions and penalties imposed upon Claimant as a result of the Department's actions.
- 5. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 9, 2013

Date Mailed: January 10, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

CC:

