STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 2013-7399

 		 \sim
THF	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}$	() L

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	1000 January 9, 2013 Wayne (19)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levent	er	
SETTLEMENT	ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrant MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on January 9, 201 behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Pathuman Services (Department) included and Family Independence Management.	est for a hearing. 3, from Detroit, Michingticipants on behalf of the property of the propert	After due notice, a gan. Participants on
Whether the Department properly:	=	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits		
for:		
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? 	Child Developme	Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

status.

1.	On October 1, 2012, the Department:			
	☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits			
	under the following program(s):			
2.	On September 24, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:			
	☐ denial ☐ closure ☐ reduction.			
3.	On October 22, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).				
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.				
	aw provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or d settlement. MCL 24.278(2).			
In the	In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action			

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits and provide appropriate retroactive and ongoing benefits, taking into consideration Claimant's age and high-school student

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP case.
- 2. Initiate procedures to reevaluate Claimant's eligibility for FIP benefits, taking into consideration her age and high-school student status.
- 3. Initiate procedures to provide retroactive and ongoing FIP benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which she is entitled.
- 4. Initiate procedures to delete all sanctions and penalties imposed on Claimant as a result of the Department's action.
- 5. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>January 9, 2013</u> Date Mailed: <u>January 10, 2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

