STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J T		RA A	\ T	ΓER	$\mathbf{\cap}$	┏.
п	4 I	пе	IVI A	4 I	ırk	u	

Reg. No.: 2013-7359

Issue No.: 3000 Case No.:

Hearing Date: County:

April 11, 2013 Oakland (03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 11, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included (FIM).

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ calculated Claimant's benefits	
for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On September 1, 2012, the Department:
	 ☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2.	On August 21, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☐ denial ☐ closure ☐ reduction.
3.	On October 26, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
progra impler Regul Agend	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secur The [ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.
	aw provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or d settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do

the following: Accept documentation provided but not logged by the Department and restore FAP benefits and supplement if appropriate.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Accept documentation provided but not logged by the Department and restore FAP benefits and supplement if appropriate.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 6, 2013

Date Mailed: June 6, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/cl

