STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE

DEPARTMENT OF HUM	AN SERVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-7111 2009 February 6, 2013 Wayne (19)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer		
HEARING DEC	ISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administra and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for was held on February 6, 2013, at Detroit, Michigincluded Claimant and his Authorized Represer Participants on behalf of the Department of His Authorized Represer Medical Contact Worker.	a hearing. After of an. Participants of antitive,	due notice, a hearing on behalf of Claimant
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's for:	s application 🔲 c	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	State Disability A	sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant ☑ applied for benefits ☐ received by	enefits for:	
Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability /	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On July 20, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to a determination that he was not disabled or blind.
3.	On July 20, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On October 11, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. Closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
Su de De Pu	ditionally, the U.S. Social Security Administration approved Claimant for pplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits retroactive to July 1, 2011. This SSA cision also makes Claimant eligible for Medicaid benefits from the Department. partment of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 260 (2012), p. 1. rsuant to BEM 260, it is found and determined that Claimant is eligible for MA nefits.
be Cla by	ext, Claimant's date of eligibility for MA must be determined. Claimant applied for MA nefits on March 29, 2012, and requested retroactive benefits from December 1, 2011. Asimant's application and retroactive request are both within the time period covered the SSA approval. It is found and determined that Claimant's eligibility date for MA is exercise December 1, 2011.
	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
	properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's MA application.
- 2. Provide retroactive and ongoing MA benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which he is entitled.
- 3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 11, 2013

Date Mailed: February 11, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2013-7111/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

