STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013-6891

 Issue No.:
 1038

 Case No.:
 January 7, 2013

 Hearing Date:
 January 7, 2013

 County:
 Wayne (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 7, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Claimant**, Family Independence Manager.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \Box deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:

\times	Family Independence Program (FIP)?
	Each Acciptones Drogram (EAD)2

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

2013-6891/JL

- On or about November 1, 2012, the Department

 denied Claimant's application
 closed Claimant's case
 due to a determination that she did not have good cause to explain her failure to appear to the Work First program on September 18, 2012.
- On or about October 3, 2012, the Department sent
 ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
- 4. On October 15, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \Box denial of the application. \boxtimes closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. On September 18, 2012, the Claimant failed to appear at the Work First program as required, as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. On September 25, 2012, the Department held a triage conference with Claimant to determine if she had good cause for her failure to appear on the 18th.

On September 18, 2012, Claimant had an 8:30 a.m. appointment at the Work First program. Clmt. Exh. 3. Claimant did not leave her home until 9:00 a.m. Based on this information, the Department at the triage on September 25, 2012 determined that Claimant did not have good cause for her failure to appear on September 18, 2012, at the Work First program.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A, "Failure to Meet Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency-Related Requirements: FIP," requires customers to work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Good cause is required in order not to be found failing or refusing to cooperate. The Department must conduct a triage conference in order to determine if good cause exists. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (2012), pp. 1-2, 7-8.

Applying BEM 233A in this case it is found and determined that Claimant failed to participate in required work and work-related activities on September 18, 2012, and that the Department followed its triage procedures to determine if good cause existed to

excuse Claimant's failure. Accordingly, it is found and determined that the Department acted correctly in terminating Claimant's FIP benefits.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

□ properly denied Claimant's application
 □ improperly denied Claimant's application
 □ improperly closed Claimant's case
 □ improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Jan

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>January 8, 2013</u> Date Mailed: <u>January 9, 2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

