## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## **IN THE MATTER OF:**

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-6825 1038 January 7, 2013 Wayne (43)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	r			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 7, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and Authorized Representative. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Case Manager.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case for:				
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
. On October 17, 2012, Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received benefits for:				
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	☐ State Disability A	esistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

effective October 1, 1996.

2.	On November 13, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to a determination that Claimant failed to participate in work-related activities, i.e., she failed to complete the required Family Automated Screening Tool document.			
3.	On or about November 13, 2012, the Department sent   Claimant  Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)   notice of the  denial.  closure.			
4.	On October 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. □ closure of the case.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Re 42 Ag	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program			

Additionally, Bridges Administrative Manual 600, "Hearings," requires that hearing requests be made within ninety (90) days of the Notice of Case Action or other Department decision. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (2012), p. 4. In this case it is found and determined that the hearing request was filed on the same day as Claimant's application, October 17, 2012. No Department action occurred within the ninety days prior to October 17, 2012, the date Claimant filed the Hearing Request.

Accordingly, it is found and determined that the Department did not make a decision affecting Claimant from July 17, 2012-October 17, 2012, the ninety-day time period. Therefore the Claimant's hearing request must be denied.

As Claimant's October 17, 2012 application was denied November 13, 2012, Claimant retains the right to file a timely hearing request. *Id.* 

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department took no action from July 17, 2012-October 17, 2012, upon which this tribunal can make its decision.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Find of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that did act properly.	•
Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☒ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ is ☒ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the reasons stated or	MA  SDA  CDC decision
	Jan Goenly
	Jan Leventer
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Maura Corrigan, Director
Do	epartment of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>January 8, 2013</u> Date Mailed: <u>January 9, 2013</u>

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
JL/tm

