STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201266953

Issue No.: 6015

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 11, 2013 County: Wayne (43)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 11, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Electronic Region (Department) included Region (Departmen

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claima for:	ant's application	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)?	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? 	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
Claimant ☑ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐FIP ☐FAP ☐MA ☐AMP ☐SDA ☐CDC.		
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with	a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).	

3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by June 25, 2012.

4. On an unverified date, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
 5. On an unverified date, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
 On July 23, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-19342 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.310 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, R 400.300 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independency Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and i administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SD.

program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Mark The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

Claimant applied for CDC benefits on June 8, 2012. At the hearing, the Department testified that it denied Claimant's application because Claimant had failed to provide adequate income information concerning her employment. The Department testified that it sent Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) on June 8, 2012, requiring that she submit a Verification of Employment (DHS-38) (VOE) completed by her employer. The Department testified that it received a VOE, but it was blank, not signed by the employer, and included only two weekly paychecks, one for June 8, 2012, and one for June 15, 2012.

The Department testified that the VOE was inadequate because it did not establish the hours that Claimant was anticipated to have to work. A client must establish a need for CCD benefits. BEM 703 (April 1, 2012), p 1. Employment is a valid need that can be established through (i) a copy of a work schedule indicating the number of hours worked, (ii) a VOE completed by the employer, or (iii) pay stubs indicating number of work hours. BEM 703, p 10. If the employer refuses or is unable to complete a VOE, or the client cannot obtain a work schedule, or the paystubs do not indicate the number of hours worked, the Department may collaterally contact the employer to obtain the required information. BEM 703, p 10. In this case, Claimant testified that her employer informed her that it did not complete VOEs. However, because Claimant did not advise her worker of this fact and the evidence presented to the Department did not establish the numbers of hours Claimant was required to work over a monthly period, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it concluded that Claimant had failed to verify her need.

Furthermore, verification of Claimant's employment income for thirty days was required to determine her income eligibility for CDC benefits in the absence of any ground establishing categorical eligibility. BEM 703, p 13; BEM 505 (October 1, 2010), pp 4-5. Because Claimant only provided two weekly paychecks, the Department did not have sufficient income information to assess her income eligibility.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

 ☑ properly denied Claimant's application ☑ properly closed Claimant's case ☑ improperly denied Claimant's application ☑ improperly closed Claimant's case 	
for:	
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC DSS decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	
Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services	

Date Signed: 3/13/2013

Date Mailed: 3/13/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

