STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-6548 Issue No.: 2009; 4031

Case No.: Hearing Date:

December 12, 2012

County: Macomb-12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Ad ministrative Law Judge upon Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which gov ern the administrative hearing a nd appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on December 12, 2012, fr om Lansing, Michigan. Claimant, along with her parent s, personally appeared and test ified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (the department) properly denied Claimant's app lication for Medical Assistance (MA), Retro-MA and State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On May 31, 2012, Claimant filed an application for MA/Retro-MA and SDA benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On August 8, 2012, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA-P/Retro-MA indica ting Claimant h ad a non-sever e impairment. SDA was denied due to lack of duration. (Dept Ex. A, pp 14-15).
- (3) On August 13, 2012, the department caseworker sent Claimant notice that her application was denied.

- (4) On August 24, 2012, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On October 11, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled because the medical evidence of record does not document a mental/physic al impai rment(s) that significantly limits Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities. (Department Exhibit B).
- (6) Claimant has a history of a cognit ive impairment and autistic s pectrum disorder.
- (7) On January 30, 2013, Claimant underwent a psychol ogical evaluation at the request of this Ad ministrative Law Judge. Claimant alleged disability secondary to cognitive impair ments, anxiety, and a history of extreme social immaturity and symptoms of an autistic spectrum disorder. She graduated from high school, alt hough she had full time special education services beginning in preschool and was classified as cognitively impaired. She had a history of sym ptoms consistent with an autistic spectrum disorder including motor ti cks, verbal ticks, sensory issues, finger tapping, need for sameness, extr eme social immaturity and delay s in speech and language with repetitive behaviors, and obsessive interests. She has never learned to drive. She had a child like qualit presentation and was obsessive and perseverant and tangential in her responses. Diagnoses: Axis I: Mood disorder by report; Autistic spectrum disorder; Axis IV: Anxiety and social immaturity; Axis V: GAF= 40-45. The examining psychologist opined that Claimant's condition was guarded and the medical evidence and objective data suggest that extreme social immaturity and anxiet y would prevent her from doing part time w ork in a highly structured supportive environment. (Depart Ex. C, pp 1-5).
- (8) Claimant is a 24 year old woman whos e birthday is Claimant is 5'6" tall and weighs 125 lbs. Claimant completed high school.
- (9) Claimant had applied for Social Security disability benefits at the time of the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Current legislative amendments to the Act delineate eligibility criteria as implemented by department policy set forth in program manual s. 2004 PA 344, Se c. 604, es tablishes the State Disability Assistance program. It reads in part:

Sec. 604 (1). The department sha ll operate a state di sability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall includ e needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempt from the Supplemental Security Income citizenship re quirement who are at least 18 years of age or emanc ipated minors meeting one or more of the following requirements:

(b) A per son with a physical or mental impairment whic h meets federal SSI disab ility standards, exce pt that the minimum duration of the dis ability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Specifically, this Act provides minimal cash assistance to individuals with some type of severe, temporary disability which prevents him or her from engaging in substantial gainful work activity for at least ninety (90) days.

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The person claiming a physica I or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, di agnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged, 20 CF R 416.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of the mselves, sufficient to estab lish disability. 20 CFR 416.908 a nd 20 CF R 416.929. By the same token, a conclus ory statement by a physici an or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.929.

A set order is used to deter mine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(c). If the impairment, or combination of impairments, do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laborator y findings which demons trate a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a).

Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of dis ease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv). Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include –

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment ; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, lig ht, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is def ined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of wa lking and standing is often necess ary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a). Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walk ing or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg c ontrols. 20 CFR 416.967(b). Medium work involves lifting no more t han 50 pounds at a time wit h frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentar y and light work. 20 CFR 416. 967(c). Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying o f objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If som eone can do heavy work, we deter mine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regula tions require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- Does the client perf orm Substantial Gainful Activit y (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If

- yes, the analys is c ontinues t o Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 year s? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Based on Finding of Fact #6-#8 above this Administrative Law Judge answers:

Step 1: No.

Step 2: Yes.

Step 3: Yes. Claimant has show n, by clear and convincing documentary evidence and credib le testimony, her mental impairments meet or equal Listing 12.04(A) and 12.04(B):

12.10 Autistic disorder and other p ervasive developmental disorders: Characterized by qualitative deficits in the development of reciprocal social interaction, in the development of verbal and nonverbal communication skills, and in imaginative activity. Often, there is a markedly restricted repertoire of activities and interests, which frequently are stereotyped and repetitive.

The required level of severity for these disorders is met when the requirements in both A and B are satisfied. Medically documented findings of the following:

a. Qualitative deficits in reciprocal social interaction; and

- b. Qualitative deficits in verbal and nonverbal communication and in imaginative activity; and
- c. Markedly restricted repertoire of activities and interests;

OR

- 2. For other pervasive developm ental disorders, both of the following:
- a. Qualitative deficits in reciprocal social interaction; and
- b. Qualitative deficits in verbal and nonverbal communication and in imaginative activity;

AND

- B. Resulting in at least two of the following:
- 1. Marked restriction of activities of daily living; or
- 2. Marked difficulties in maintaining social functioning; or
- 3. Marked difficulties in maintaining concentration, persistence, or pace; or
- 4. Repeated episodes of decomp ensation, each of extended duration.

Accordingly, this Ad ministrative Law Judg e concludes that Claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA/Retro-MA and SDA progr ams. Consequently, the department's denial of her May 31, 2012, MA/Retro-MA and SDA application cannot be upheld.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides the department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA and SDA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The depart ment shall process Cla imant's May 31, 2012, MA/Retro-MA and SDA application, and shall award her all the benefits she may be entitled to receive, as long as she meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.
- 2. The department shall rev iew Claimant's medica I cond ition for improvement in February, 2014, unless her Social Security Administration disability status is approved by that time.
- 3. The department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding her continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

It is SO ORDERED.

/s/
Vicki L. Armstrong
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 13, 2013

Date Mailed: February 13, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

2013-6548/VLA

- typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/las

